



Lotus Bakeries

2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Read full terms of disclosure](#)

▪

Contents

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

☒ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

☒ EUR

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☒ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Lotus Bakeries, founded in 1932 in Lembeke, (Belgium), operates worldwide in the indulgent and natural snacking segment with the Lotus®, Lotus® Biscoff®, Dinosaur®, Peijnenburg®, Annas®, TREK®, BEAR®, Kiddylicious® and Peter's Yard brands, among others. It has its headquarters in Belgium, with 12 production facilities located in Belgium, the Netherlands, France, Sweden, South Africa, US and Thailand and 23 own sales organisations in Europe, America and Asia. Lotus Bakeries also works with commercial partners in approximately fifty countries worldwide. As per 31 December 2024 Lotus Bakeries had 3.364 persons employed. By maintaining a healthy balance between tradition and innovation, the Lotus brand indulges consumers with a unique range of high-quality, tasty products. The secret of Lotus® Biscoff® lies in the cookie's unique flavour, distinctive design and delightful crispiness. The unique caramelised cookie flavour has meanwhile also been incorporated into a spread, ice cream and chocolate. A wide range of cake specialties and waffles are furthermore offered under the Lotus brand name. Koninklijke Peijnenburg is the market leader for gingerbread in the Netherlands and Annas is a typical Swedish speciality of pepparkakor biscuits: thin, crunchy biscuits flavoured with ginger and cinnamon. Under the nãkd, TREK and BEAR brands, Lotus Bakeries offers tasty snacks, manufactured from all-natural, unprocessed ingredients, with no added sugar. Kiddylicious focusses on healthy snacking for babies, toddlers and pre-schoolers. In 2024 the Group achieved a turnover of EUR 1,232 billion. The shares of Lotus Bakeries are listed on Euronext Brussels. Lotus Bakeries wants to grow by offering a varied range of branded snacks for all times of the day. This growth must be profitable and sustainable, while maximising chances for future generations. That is Lotus Bakeries vision. Through its 'Care for Today, Respect for Tomorrow' sustainability strategy and the expansion and strengthening of integrated action plans, the group will further strengthen its ambitions in the coming periods.

Lotus Bakeries' sustainability strategy is based on five guiding principles: - A balanced portfolio of great taste, focused on delighting consumers - One lotus family, focused on employee health, safety and well-being - Ethical business conduct, focused on good governance - Road to net zero, focused on minimising our impact on the environment - Sustainable sourcing, focused on resilient supply chains while ensuring welfare for all. In March 2021 Lotus Bakeries was accepted as a member of the UN Global Compact of the United Nations. The most recent Communication on Progress was submitted in July 2024.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

12/30/2024

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 1 year

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 1 year

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 1 year

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

1231914000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

BE0003604155

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

LOTB

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

5493004C0K34IFI67I03

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

☒ China

☒ Italy

☒ Japan

☒ Spain

☒ Canada

☒ Germany

☒ Thailand

☒ Australia

☒ France

☒ Sweden

☒ Austria

☒ Belgium

☒ Czechia

☒ South Africa

☒ Republic of Korea

☒ Hong Kong SAR, China

☒ Netherlands

☒ Switzerland

☒ United States of America

☒ United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

(1.8.1) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

Select from:

☒ Yes, for some facilities

(1.8.2) Comment

Geolocation will only be shared for production facilities, not for sales offices. Sales offices are often leased assets where there is no long-term certainty of the geolocation

[Fixed row]

(1.8.1) Please provide all available geolocation data for your facilities.

Row 1

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Lembeke

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.194207

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

3.637111

(1.8.1.4) Comment

/

Row 2

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Oostakker

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.07853

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

3.777851

(1.8.1.4) Comment

/

Row 3

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Courcelles

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.492725

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

4.363057

(1.8.1.4) Comment

/

Row 4

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Eeklo

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.179083

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

3.547392

(1.8.1.4) Comment

/

Row 5

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Comines

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

50.766782

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

3.009671

(1.8.1.4) Comment

/

Row 6

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Briec

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

48.100132

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-4.02674

(1.8.1.4) Comment

/

Row 7

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Tyreso

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

59.240839

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

18.211616

(1.8.1.4) Comment

/

Row 8

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

USA

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

36.085662

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

-79.294852

(1.8.1.4) Comment

/

Row 9

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

South-Africa

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

-33.419039

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

19.19936

(1.8.1.4) Comment

/

Row 10**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

Thailand

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

13.099075

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

101.278319

(1.8.1.4) Comment

/

Row 11**(1.8.1.1) Identifier**

Sintjohannesga

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.934539

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.862436

(1.8.1.4) Comment

/

Row 12

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Geldrop

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

51.423072

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.554235

(1.8.1.4) Comment

/

Row 13

(1.8.1.1) Identifier

Enkhuizen

(1.8.1.2) Latitude

52.719034

(1.8.1.3) Longitude

5.282214

(1.8.1.4) Comment

/

[Add row]

(1.11) Are greenhouse gas emissions and/or water-related impacts from the production, processing/manufacturing, distribution activities or the consumption of your products relevant to your current CDP disclosure?

Production

(1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

☒ Value chain (excluding own land)

(1.11.2) Primary reason emissions and/or water-related impacts from this activity are not relevant

Select from:

☒ Do not own/manage land

(1.11.3) Explain why emissions and/or water-related impacts from this activity are not relevant

All raw materials and ingredients are sourced externally, not produced by Lotus Bakeries. We do not own land.

Processing/ Manufacturing

(1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

☒ Both direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Distribution

(1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

☒ Both direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Consumption

(1.11.1) Relevance of emissions and/or water-related impacts

Select from:

☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.22) Provide details on the commodities that you produce and/or source.

Timber products

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Manufacturing

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No, the total volume is confidential

(1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- ☒ Paper
- ☒ Primary packaging
- ☒ Secondary packaging
- ☒ Tertiary packaging

(1.22.12) % of procurement spend

Select from:

- ☒ 6-10%

(1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

- ☒ 100%

(1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- ☒ No, not disclosing

(1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(1.22.16) Reason for not disclosing

Select all that apply

- ☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(1.22.18) Explanation for not disclosing

We source corrugated board and cardboard to pack our products. Although all our products are packed in cardboard, this does not have a significant influence on the quality and taste experience of our products. In combination with a relatively small procurement spend, we choose to not disclose on this topic.

(1.22.19) Please explain

/

Palm oil

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Manufacturing

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No, the total volume is confidential

(1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

☒ Palm kernel oil derivatives

☒ Palm oil derivatives

☒ Refined palm oil

(1.22.12) % of procurement spend

Select from:

☒ 6-10%

(1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

☒ 71-80%

(1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

☒ Yes, disclosing

(1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.22.19) Please explain

We buy 100% RSPO certified refined palm oil and palm kernel oil to process it into margarine and manufacturing of bakery wares. 100% of the production sites of Lotus Bakeries that process palm oil are RSPO Supply Chain Certified by SGS for the Segregated and Mass Balance Supply Chain Model.

Soy

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Manufacturing

(1.22.3) Indicate if you have direct soy and/or embedded soy in your value chain

Select from:

☒ Mixture of embedded soy and direct soy

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

- ☒ No, the total volume is confidential

(1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

- ☒ Soy derivatives

(1.22.12) % of procurement spend

Select from:

- ☒ Less than 1%

(1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

- ☒ Unknown

(1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

- ☒ No, not disclosing

(1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(1.22.16) Reason for not disclosing

Select all that apply

- ☒ Small procurement spend

(1.22.18) Explanation for not disclosing

While we source soy derivatives, this is only a very small amount of our procurement spend. Because of the small procurement spend related, soy is deemed immaterial and therefore not disclosed. The soy flour that is procured can be traced to the plantations which are not located in countries with high risk of deforestation. Furthermore, our suppliers are requested to sign our Supplier Code of Conduct. By signing, the Supplier commits to put in place a system to manage, measure, assess and control the environmental aspects of its operations., including but not limited to: 1) processes aimed at reducing waste, diminishing energy consumption, minimising emission and preventing pollution, 2) conservation of biodiversity, including endangered flora and fauna, no deforestation and attention to soil conservation, 3) respect for water resources and assurance of proper (waste) water management, 4) no use of illegal products and minimizing the use of pesticides and other legal chemicals. The supplier commits to keep adequate records on direct suppliers and to be able to trace volumes back to the supplying site and to impose the same obligation on its own suppliers so that products can be traced back to their source.

(1.22.19) Please explain

/

Cocoa

(1.22.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.22.2) Commodity value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Manufacturing

(1.22.4) Indicate if you are providing the total commodity volume that is produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No, the total volume is confidential

(1.22.11) Form of commodity

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Chocolate

(1.22.12) % of procurement spend

Select from:

☒ 6-10%

(1.22.13) % of revenue dependent on commodity

Select from:

☒ 1-10%

(1.22.14) In the questionnaire setup did you indicate that you are disclosing on this commodity?

Select from:

☒ No, not disclosing

(1.22.15) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ No

(1.22.16) Reason for not disclosing

Select all that apply

☒ Small volume

(1.22.18) Explanation for not disclosing

While we source cocoa derivatives, this is only a small amount of our procurement spend. Because of the small procurement spend related, cocoa is deemed immaterial and therefore not disclosed. The cocoa mass and the cocoa butter in the chocolate bars sold under the Lotus Biscoff brand is 100% Rainforest Alliance Certified. Furthermore, our suppliers are requested to sign our Supplier Code of Conduct. By signing, the Supplier commits to put in place a system to manage, measure, assess and control the environmental aspects of its operations., including but not limited to: 1) processes aimed at reducing waste, diminishing energy consumption, minimising emission and preventing pollution, 2) conservation of biodiversity, including endangered flora and fauna, no deforestation and attention to soil conservation, 3) respect for water resources and assurance of proper (waste) water management, 4) no use of illegal products and minimizing the use of pesticides and other legal chemicals. The supplier commits to keep adequate records on direct suppliers and to be able to trace volumes back to the supplying site and to impose the same obligation on its own suppliers so that products can be traced back to their source.

(1.22.19) Please explain

/

[Fixed row]

(1.23) Which of the following agricultural commodities that your organization produces and/or sources are the most significant to your business by revenue?

Cotton

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No

Dairy & egg products

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.23.2) % of revenue dependent on this agricultural commodity

Select from:

☒ 11-20%

(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.23.4) Please explain

Depending on the recipes and the market demand this commodity is used in products representing 11-20% of the revenue. Eggs are an important ingredient in our pastry and waffles range of the Local Heroes pillar within Lotus Bakeries. As we consider all three pillars important for our business, eggs are considered significant to our business in terms of revenue.

Fish and seafood from aquaculture

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No

Fruit

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.23.2) % of revenue dependent on this agricultural commodity

Select from:

☒ 11-20%

(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.23.4) Please explain

Depending on the recipes and the market demand this commodity is used in products representing 11-20% of the revenue. Fruit is an important ingredient in our BEAR fruit rolls, within the Natural Foods pillar within Lotus Bakeries. As we consider all three pillars important for our business, fruit is considered significant to our business in terms of revenue.

Maize/corn

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No

Nuts

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.23.2) % of revenue dependent on this agricultural commodity

Select from:

☒ 11-20%

(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.23.4) Please explain

Depending on the recipes and the market demand this commodity is used in products representing 11-20% of the revenue. Nuts are an important ingredient in our nakd and TREK bars, within the Natural Foods pillar within Lotus Bakeries. As we consider all three pillars important for our business, nuts are considered significant to our business in terms of revenue.

Other grain (e.g., barley, oats)

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.23.2) % of revenue dependent on this agricultural commodity

Select from:

☒ 11-20%

(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.23.4) Please explain

Depending on the recipes and the market demand this commodity is used in products representing 11-20% of the revenue. Oats are an important ingredient in our TREK bars, within the Natural Foods pillar within Lotus Bakeries. As we consider all three pillars important for our business, oats are considered significant to our business in terms of revenue.

Other oilseeds (e.g. rapeseed oil)

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.23.2) % of revenue dependent on this agricultural commodity

Select from:

☒ 71-80%

(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.23.4) Please explain

Depending on the recipes and the market demand this commodity is used in products representing 71-80% of the revenue. Rapeseed oil is an important ingredient in our margarines, which feed into the Biscoff and Local Heroes pillars within Lotus Bakeries. As we consider all three pillars important for our business, rapeseed oil is considered significant to our business in terms of revenue.

Poultry & hog

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No

Rice

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No

Sugar

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.23.2) % of revenue dependent on this agricultural commodity

Select from:

☒ 71-80%

(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.23.4) Please explain

Depending on the recipes and the market demand this commodity is used in products representing 71-80% of the revenue. Sugar is an important ingredient in our biscuits and bakery products, which feed into the Biscoff and Local Heroes pillars within Lotus Bakeries. As we consider all three pillars important for our business, sugar is considered significant to our business in terms of revenue.

Tea

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No

Tobacco

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No

Vegetable

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No

Wheat

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ Sourced

(1.23.2) % of revenue dependent on this agricultural commodity

Select from:

☒ 71-80%

(1.23.3) Is this commodity considered significant to your business in terms of revenue?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.23.4) Please explain

Depending on the recipes and the market demand this commodity is used in products representing 71-80% of the revenue. Wheat flour is an important ingredient in our biscuits and bakery products, which feed into the Biscoff and Local Heroes pillars within Lotus Bakeries. As we consider all three pillars important for our business, wheat flour is considered significant to our business in terms of revenue.

Other commodity

(1.23.1) Produced and/or sourced

Select from:

☒ No

[Fixed row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

☒ Upstream value chain

☒ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

☒ Tier 4+ suppliers

(1.24.6) Smallholder inclusion in mapping

Select from:

☒ Smallholders relevant but not included

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Lotus Bakeries has a process ongoing to map our value chain. With the EU Deforestation Regulation and CSDDD, this process has been speed up and the effort will be further increased in the nearby future. The first tier suppliers are identified for the critical deforestation commodities and will be further questioned in order to map Tier 2-4 suppliers. For commodities not related to deforestation, the first Tier suppliers have been identified, but further actions are planned to map the other Tiers.
[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

(1.24.1.1) Plastics mapping

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping plastics in our value chain

(1.24.1.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain
- ☒ End-of-life management

(1.24.1.4) End-of-life management pathways mapped

Select all that apply

- ☒ Recycling
- ☒ Waste to Energy
- ☒ Incineration
- ☒ Landfill

[Fixed row]

(1.24.2) Which commodities has your organization mapped in your upstream value chain (i.e., supply chain)?

Palm oil

(1.24.2.1) Value chain mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(1.24.2.2) Highest supplier tier mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.2.3) % of tier 1 suppliers mapped

Select from:

- ☒ 100%

(1.24.2.7) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped for this sourced commodity

Select from:

☒ Tier 4+ suppliers

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

1

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

In line with CSRD reporting

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

In line with CSRD reporting

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

In line with CSRD reporting
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: ☒ Yes	Select from: ☒ Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Both risks and opportunities	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Forests
- ☒ Water
- ☒ Plastics
- ☒ Biodiversity

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Dependencies
- ☒ Impacts
- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain
- ☒ End of life management

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- ☒ A specific environmental risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Other

- ☒ Materiality assessment

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ☒ Heat waves
- ☒ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

Chronic physical

- ☒ Heat stress
- ☒ Water stress
- ☒ Sea level rise
- ☒ Change in land-use
- ☒ Seasonal supply variability/interannual variability
- ☒ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- ☒ Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- ☒ Increased levels of environmental pollutants in freshwater bodies
- ☒ Increased levels of macro or microplastic leakage to air, soil, freshwater and/or marine bodies

Policy

- ☒ Carbon pricing mechanisms
- ☒ Increased difficulty in obtaining water withdrawals permit

Market

- ☒ Availability and/or increased cost of certified sustainable material
- ☒ Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials
- ☒ Availability and/or increased cost of recycled or renewable content
- ☒ Changing customer behavior
- ☒ Uncertainty about commodity origin and/or legality

Reputation

- ☒ Impact on human health
- ☒ Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback
- ☒ Stigmatization of sector

Technology

- ☒ Transition to reusable products
- ☒ Transition to recyclable plastic products
- ☒ Transition to increasing renewable content
- ☒ Transition to increasing recycled content
- ☒ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☒ NGOs | ☒ Regulators |
| ☒ Customers | ☒ Local communities |
| ☒ Employees | ☒ Other commodity users/producers at a local level |
| ☒ Investors | |
| ☒ Suppliers | |

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

In 2023, we have performed a complete Double Materiality Assessment. In this assessment, the impacts of Lotus Bakeries on the environment have been discussed. In this process, also dependencies, risks and opportunities were identified. The process was performed in 4 steps. Step 1: development of a longlist of topics to discuss. Starting point was the sustainability priorities from Lotus Bakeries' existing materiality assessment, enriched with the requirements of the upcoming CSRD, including nearly 100 ESRS topics and sub-topics. Step 2: development of a shortlist: Based on desk research and workshops with stakeholders and experts, 22 relevant topics were identified as most relevant for Lotus Bakeries to engage on with other actors in the value chain. Step 3: stakeholder engagement: This step included engagement through dialogues and interviews to ensure completeness of the selected themes, followed by an online survey to obtain a prioritisation on the 22 key topics from both a financial and impact materiality perspective. Step 4: assessment: The ranking of the topics was analysed based on the complete set of responses as well as per cluster of stakeholders to identify potential topics that would matter more to a certain stakeholder group. The threshold at which a topic is considered material is locked at 7 or higher on a 9-point scale. The topics with material impact were assessed on their actual or potential impact, the severity (scale, scope and irremediable character) and the likelihood of occurring. The topics with financial materiality were assessed in terms of risk and opportunity and cross-checked with Lotus Bakeries' enterprise risk management process aimed at identifying, assessing and prioritising risks to ensure one comprehensive and integrated approach. This process mapped the dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities within our own operations and our value chain.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Forests

☒ Water

☒ Plastics

☒ Biodiversity

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term

☒ Medium-term

☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☒ Not location specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Enterprise Risk Management

☒ COSO Enterprise Risk Management Framework

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Policy

☒ Carbon pricing mechanisms

☒ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements

☒ Changes to national legislation

☒ Increased difficulty in obtaining operations permits

Market

☒ Availability and/or increased cost of certified sustainable material

☒ Availability and/or increased cost of raw materials

☒ Availability and/or increased cost of recycled or renewable content

☒ Changing customer behavior

Reputation

- ☒ Impact on human health

Technology

- ☒ Transition to reusable products
- ☒ Transition to recyclable plastic products
- ☒ Transition to increasing renewable content
- ☒ Transition to increasing recycled content

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Customers
- ☒ Employees
- ☒ Investors
- ☒ Suppliers
- ☒ Regulators
- ☒ Local communities

(2.2.2.15) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

Lotus Bakeries has implemented an ongoing process of risk and opportunity management aimed at ensuring that risks and opportunities are identified, assessed, controlled and monitored in such a way that risks can be kept at an acceptable level. The entire risk management process is based on the COSO Enterprise Risk Management framework. The climate-related risks are part of the general enterprise risk management system. It is the task of the Audit and Sustainability Committee to analyse and advise the Board on, amongst others, Enterprise Risk management and audit matters. After each meeting the Audit and Sustainability Committee draws up a report of its findings and recommendations which is discussed during the meeting of the Board following the Audit Committee. The Executive Committee (EXCO) has total operational responsibility for the risk management process for Lotus Bakeries and reports on this periodically to the Audit and Sustainability Committee. Within the EXCO, the CFO has the responsibility for follow up and reporting on climate-related risks as part of the sustainability risks and opportunities.

[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

☒ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

The dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities were assessed during the process of our double materiality analysis. (see description above). As this process contained the assessment of dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities, also the interconnections between these topics was investigated within the Double Materiality Assessment.

[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

☒ Upstream value chain

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

☒ Areas of limited water availability, flooding, and/or poor quality of water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

Lotus Bakeries is aware that some of its plants are located in water-stressed areas. It is therefore crucial for Lotus Bakeries to keep an up-to-date analysis of the water-related risks for our production sites and whether or not they are located in water-stressed areas. This exercise is repeated annually.

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

☒ No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☒ Qualitative

☒ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☒ EBITDA

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☒ Absolute decrease

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

2500000

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- ☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

A 4x4 matrix assesses any identified risk in terms of likelihood of occurrence and financial impact. The likelihood of occurring is linked to the time horizon (short-medium-long). The financial impact is measured as an 1year impact on rebitda. The scale ranges from >500kEUR to >5,000kEUR. The risk is considered as substantive when the impact on rebitda is above 2500kEUR and it is likely to occur in a 1 year period.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Qualitative
- ☒ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- ☒ EBITDA

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

- ☒ Absolute increase

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

2500000

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- ☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

A 4x4 matrix assesses any identified opportunities in terms of likelihood of occurrence and financial impact. The likelihood of occurring ranges from "almost certain to occur once a year" to "likely to occur in a 3-5y period". In this axis, the time horizon (short-medium-long) is taken into account. The financial impact is measured as an 1year impact on rebitda. The scale ranges from >500kEUR to >5,000kEUR. The opportunity is considered as substantive when the impact on rebitda is above 2500kEUR and it is likely to occur in a 1 year period.

[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) How potential water pollutants are identified and classified

The main potential water pollutants for our production processes are organic grease originating from edible fats and oils present in our recipes. In order to avoid these, our plants are set-up with a degreasing system. If this is not the case, the water gets collected by third party for purification. On a yearly basis, a water scan of 1 week is performed by the official instances in Belgium for the plants which have a risk of potential water pollutants. The results of this week analysis is extrapolated to get a view on our yearly pollutants. Our water discharges is generally in line with water discharge standards of the official water discharge net - in certain cases it is even in line with drinking water standards. If the scan would show any deviations from this standard, actions would be taken to reduce the amount of water pollutants present.

[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

- ☒ Other nutrients and oxygen demanding pollutants

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Our water only contains organic substances: organic grease.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- ☒ Discharge treatment using sector-specific processes to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements
- ☒ Other, please specify :Degreasers in place

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

We have degreasers in place where necessary, in order to stay within the limits allowed on the water net. Success is measured through the annual water scan performed in our Belgian sites.

[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Forests

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☒ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

The water consumption of Lotus Bakeries is much lower than industry average. Water withdrawal: 156.500 m³ minus Water discharged: 143.300m³ equals Water consumed: 13.200m³

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Liability

☒ Non-compliance with legislation

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☒ France | ☒ South Africa |
| ☒ Sweden | ☒ United States of America |
| ☒ Belgium | |
| ☒ Thailand | |
| ☒ Netherlands | |

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Lotus Bakeries is a global company subject to various European, United States, domestic and foreign environmental laws and regulations, which are constantly evolving. Any failure to comply with such laws and regulations could expose Lotus Bakeries to lawsuits, administrative penalties and civil remedies, all of which may have a material adverse impact.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☒ Fines, penalties or enforcement orders

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Any failure to comply with such laws and regulations could expose Lotus Bakeries to lawsuits, administrative penalties and civil remedies, all of which may have a material adverse impact.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☒ Greater compliance with regulatory requirements

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Compliance to all legislation is crucial for avoiding fines and penalties. The ESG team monitors new legislations and necessary actions to comply.

Forests

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk4

(3.1.1.2) Commodity

Select all that apply

☒ Not applicable

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Market

☒ Lack of availability and/or increased cost of raw materials

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ France

☒ Sweden

☒ Belgium

☒ Thailand

☒ South Africa

☒ United States of America

☒ Netherlands

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Climate change or other environmental challenges could on the mid or long term affect raw material availability and quality, impacting fluctuations of the raw material prices. Peers are developing programs beyond supplier code of conducts, standing still could lead to less good or fewer partnerships with our suppliers. This could impact the robustness of supply chains. Shortage in raw material commodities could lead to price volatility.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☒ Increased direct costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Increased cost of raw materials and limited availability, leading to supply chain disruptions.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☒ Engage with suppliers

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Active engagement with suppliers and setting up programs to increase visibility on price evolutions

Plastics

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk6

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Market

☒ Changing customer behavior

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Downstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ France

☒ Sweden

☒ Belgium

☒ Thailand

☒ Netherlands

☒ South Africa

☒ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Consumer expectations towards companies to reduce negative packaging impact is increasing. Selling pre)packaged goods may influence extended producer responsibility (EPR) negatively, if the role of packaging to guarantee food safety and reserve shelf-life to combat food waste is not well understood

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☒ Brand damage

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Very likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Changing consumer preferences could potentially lead to a reduced turnover in case the consumer deems that Lotus Bakeries does not enough efforts to prevent plastic waste.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

- ☒ Take action to switch to technically recyclable plastic

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Lotus Bakeries recognises the problems related to the use of plastic packaging, including ocean pollution, carbon emissions, and the use of non-renewable resources. Lotus Bakeries shares the concerns and wants to assume responsibility to move from the current linear economy, where packaging is produced, used and thrown away, to a circular economy, where materials are kept within the loop. To this end, Lotus Bakeries has formulated clear ambitions and a clear strategy. The Group also monitors regulatory measures to limit the use of single-use packaging, such as packaging taxes. The Group is constantly scanning and improving the packaging portfolio based on fact-based methodologies. Lotus Bakeries investigates various alternatives to the classic fossil-based plastics currently in use. However, alternative materials need to guarantee the same level of product safety, processability and sustainability as the current materials used.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Market

☒ Other market risk, please specify :Increased cost of energy

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ France

☒ Sweden

☒ Belgium

☒ Thailand

☒ Netherlands

☒ South Africa

☒ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Significant price increases in energy prices could affect the group. Reducing energy usage via energy saving measures could lead to cost reduction.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☒ Increased direct costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ Very likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ High

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Increased energy prices will drive up the cost of producing and will impact the cost of our goods.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☒ Establish site-specific targets

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We have the target to reduce energy intensity per tonne produced by 10% by 2030 compared to the 2023 base year. Also to increase our renewable electricity.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Market

☒ Lack of availability and/or increased cost of raw materials

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- ☒ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☒ France | ☒ South Africa |
| ☒ Sweden | ☒ United States of America |
| ☒ Belgium | |
| ☒ Thailand | |
| ☒ Netherlands | |

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Climate change or other environmental challenges could on the mid or long term affect raw material availability and quality, impacting fluctuations of the raw material prices. Peers are developing programs beyond supplier code of conducts, standing still could lead to less good or fewer partnerships with our suppliers. This could impact the robustness of supply chains. Shortage in raw material commodities could lead to price volatility.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☒ Increased direct costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium-high

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Increased cost of raw materials and limited availability, leading to supply chain disruptions.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Engagement

☒ Engage with suppliers

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Active engagement with suppliers and setting up programs to increase visibility on price evolutions.

Forests

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk5

(3.1.1.2) Commodity

Select all that apply

☒ Not applicable

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Liability

☒ Non-compliance with legislation

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ France
- ☒ Sweden
- ☒ Belgium
- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Netherlands
- ☒ South Africa
- ☒ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

various European, United States, domestic and foreign environmental laws and regulations, which are constantly evolving. Any failure to comply with such laws and regulations could expose Lotus Bakeries to lawsuits, administrative penalties and civil remedies, all of which may have a material adverse impact.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☒ Fines, penalties or enforcement orders

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Any failure to comply with such laws and regulations could expose Lotus Bakeries to lawsuits, administrative penalties and civil remedies, all of which may have a material adverse impact.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – minimum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☒ Greater compliance with regulatory requirements

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Compliance to all legislation is crucial for avoiding fines and penalties. The ESG team monitors new legislations and necessary actions to comply.

Plastics

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk7

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☒ Other policy risk, please specify :Packaging taxes

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

- ☒ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ France
- ☒ Sweden
- ☒ Belgium
- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Netherlands
- ☒ South Africa
- ☒ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Tax systems such as extended producer responsibility (EPR), plastic tax and litter tax are being introduced in more countries which may lead to higher taxes paid

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☒ Increased compliance costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Virtually certain

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Increasing costs need to be managed to avoid impact on cost of finished goods and avoid fines or penalties

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☒ Implementation of environmental best practices in direct operations

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Reduce packaging used per ton of product sold

[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Not disclosed

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

Forests

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Not disclosed

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

Not disclosed because of confidentiality
[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: ☒ No	No violations

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☒ No, but we anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

We expect to be influenced by ETS2. This will influence the price of gas purchased for operating our gas ovens. We are therefore investigating the use of electric ovens as a sustainable alternative while avoiding ETS costs.

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Forests

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

- ☒ Opportunities exist, but none anticipated to have a substantive effect on organization

(3.6.3) Please explain

Water is not considered to be material for Lotus Bakeries
[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

- ☒ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Markets

- ☒ Expansion into new markets

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- ☒ Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☒ France | ☒ South Africa |
| ☒ Sweden | ☒ United States of America |

- ☒ Belgium
- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Netherlands

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Decarbonisation is a growing expectation from customers and consumers. Having an SBTi commitment and deploying a decarbonisation roadmap will reduce the GHG emitted by Lotus Bakeries, which will help Lotus Bakeries' customers in their decarbonisation journey.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium-high

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Increased revenue resulting from increasing markets and customers interested in our products

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Work to achieve SBTi targets set

Forests

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp6

(3.6.1.2) Commodity

Select all that apply

☒ Palm oil

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Markets

☒ Expansion into new markets

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Downstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ France
- ☒ Sweden
- ☒ Belgium
- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Netherlands
- ☒ South Africa
- ☒ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Sustainable sourcing is a growing expectation from customers and consumers. Product endorsement via sustainable programmes including social welfare and good agricultural practices may make Lotus Bakeries offer more appealing to customers and consumers

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Increased revenue resulting from increasing markets and customers interested in our products

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Expand certification beyond RSPO (already 100%) towards further investments in Rainforest alliance and other certifications

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp3

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Energy source

☒ Shift toward decentralized energy generation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ France
- ☒ Sweden
- ☒ Belgium
- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Netherlands

- ☒ South Africa
- ☒ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Energy supply may be impacted by climate change or regulatory changes. Securing Lotus Bakeries energy supply in its own operations (e.g. solar panels, batteries) and having more autonomy through the investment in cleaner technologies and on-site energy production may contribute to an even stronger business continuity

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Energy efficiency will directly lead to a reduction in costs. Furthermore, investing in green electricity will make us more resilient towards the future and reduce costs in the future

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Project on energy efficiency in multiple plants + further investments in green energy such as solar panels.

Forests

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp5

(3.6.1.2) Commodity

Select all that apply

☒ Not applicable

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resilience

☒ Increased upstream value chain resilience

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- ☒ Upstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ France
- ☒ Sweden
- ☒ Belgium
- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Netherlands
- ☒ South Africa
- ☒ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Partnering and collaborating with suppliers in the value chain in finding more nature-friendly versions, leading to less pollution or no biodiversity loss will help achieve progress on our sustainable sourcing strategy. This will then positively contribute to the global food system and increase supply chain resilience.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

More resilient crops lead to higher yields when climate change progresses and thus lower cost compared to competitors.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Further prepare for EU DR and EU CSDDD and scan our full value chain on risks and opportunities

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp2

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resilience

☒ Improved resilience to future regulatory changes

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ France
- ☒ Sweden
- ☒ Belgium
- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Netherlands
- ☒ South Africa
- ☒ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Investment in new technologies will contribute in Lotus Bakeries reaching its decarbonisation targets within anticipated time-frame. Futureproofing the assets may ensure longer use of these assets instead. This may aid in avoiding expected carbon tax.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Increased value of fixed assets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Increased lifetime of assets and thus reduced capex in new assets.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Make smart investments towards the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp4

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resilience

☒ Increased resilience to impacts of climate change

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ France
- ☒ Sweden
- ☒ Belgium
- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Netherlands

- ☒ South Africa
- ☒ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Partnering and collaborating with raw material suppliers in finding low-carbon versions or alternatives to high-emitting raw materials could help to achieve progress on decarbonisation leading to more sustainable sourcing. This will contribute to the global food system and more resilient raw material supply.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Reduced direct costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

More resilient crops lead to higher yields when climate change progresses and thus lower cost compared to competitors.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the medium-term - maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.21) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term - minimum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.22) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

0

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

0

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

Set up programs with suppliers leading towards low-carbon alternatives for high-emitting raw materials.

[Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Not disclosed

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

Forests

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Not disclosed

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

Not disclosed because of confidentiality

[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☒ More frequently than quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☒ Executive directors or equivalent

☒ Non-executive directors or equivalent

☒ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☒ Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

The inclusion policy is part of the corporate governance charter of Lotus Bakeries (see attached). The Board of Directors tries to limit the number of members in order to ensure efficient consultation and decision-making. With regard to its composition, the board is, however, attentive to take into account the necessary expertise of its members, as well as ensuring diversity in competencies, background, age and gender.

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: ☒ Yes
Forests	Select from: ☒ Yes
Water	Select from: ☒ Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Corporate governance charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- ☒ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
- ☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- ☒ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Reviewing and guiding innovation/R&D priorities
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
- ☒ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
- ☒ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- ☒ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Lotus Bakeries has an Audit and Sustainability Committee. This committee is comprised of board members with a specific affiliation with sustainability. The Audit and Sustainability Committee meets multiple times a year and reports into the Board of Directors. They oversee the development of the ESG strategy on all topics and

oversee and monitor the setting of corporate targets. In case of major capital expenditures, the Audit and Sustainability Committee reviews the request and brings it to the board of directors when desired for final approval.

Forests

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Corporate governance charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- ☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- ☒ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
- ☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- ☒ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Reviewing and guiding innovation/R&D priorities
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures

- ☒ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
- ☒ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- ☒ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Lotus Bakeries has an Audit and Sustainability Committee. This committee is comprised of board members with a specific affiliation with sustainability. The Audit and Sustainability Committee meets multiple times a year and reports into the Board of Directors. They oversee the development of the ESG strategy on all topics and oversee and monitor the setting of corporate targets. In case of major capital expenditures, the Audit and Sustainability Committee reviews the request and brings it to the board of directors when desired for final approval.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Corporate governance charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- ☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- ☒ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
- ☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- ☒ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Reviewing and guiding innovation/R&D priorities
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
- ☒ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
- ☒ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- ☒ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Lotus Bakeries has an Audit and Sustainability Committee. This committee is comprised of board members with a specific affiliation with sustainability. The Audit and Sustainability Committee meets multiple times a year and reports into the Board of Directors. They oversee the development of the ESG strategy on all topics and oversee and monitor the setting of corporate targets. In case of major capital expenditures, the Audit and Sustainability Committee reviews the request and brings it to the board of directors when desired for final approval.

Biodiversity

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Corporate governance charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- ☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- ☒ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets
- ☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets
- ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets
- ☒ Reviewing and guiding innovation/R&D priorities
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures
- ☒ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy
- ☒ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- ☒ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Lotus Bakeries has an Audit and Sustainability Committee. This committee is comprised of board members with a specific affiliation with sustainability. The Audit and Sustainability Committee meets multiple times a year and reports into the Board of Directors. They oversee the development of the ESG strategy on all topics and oversee and monitor the setting of corporate targets. In case of major capital expenditures, the Audit and Sustainability Committee reviews the request and brings it to the board of directors when desired for final approval.

[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☒ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Other

☒ Other, please specify :Executive role in a company focussed on country-wide recycling

Forests

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☒ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Other

☒ Other, please specify :Executive-level role in an umbrella organisation for the Belgian food industry

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☒ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Other

☒ Other, please specify :Executive-level role in company in a water-intensive industry

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: ☒ Yes
Forests	Select from: ☒ Yes
Water	Select from: ☒ Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Policies, commitments, and targets

☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues

☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☒ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Annually

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The CEO is responsible for setting the company's overall strategy on climate-related issues, oversees financial planning and budgets of climate-related matters. The CEO is member of the Executive Committee (EXCO). The 'Care for today, Respect for Tomorrow' program is supported by the full Executive Committee. The EXCO consists of the CEO, the CEO Natural Foods, the COO and the Corporate Director quality, Procurement and R&D. within the EXCO the CFO is responsible for follow-up and reporting.

Forests

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ Chief Financial Officer (CFO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Policies, commitments, and targets

☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues

☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Forest related issues are part of managing the price evolution of raw materials and packaging and as such also included in global budget discussions and performance reporting and monitoring Forest related issues are also part of the general enterprise risk management system. They are included in Lotus Bakeries' "Care for Today, Respect for tomorrow" sustainability strategy, which in its turn is part of the general strategy. The Lotus Bakeries' "Care for Today, Respect for Tomorrow" sustainability strategy is the operational responsibility of the EXCO. The EXCO reports regularly to the Board of Directors on the priorities and actions for approval. The forest related issues have no specific scheduled meetings but are discussed as an integral part of the different topics mentioned here above. The annual budget has a specific scheduled meeting.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- ☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The water balance is part of the operational KPIs which are monthly reported into the COO. When water-related issues arise, these are further discusses with the CEO and when necessary, they are brought to the Board of Directors.

Biodiversity

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ Chief Financial Officer (CFO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Biodiversity is included in Lotus Bakeries' "Care for Today, Respect for tomorrow" sustainability strategy, which in its turn is part of the general strategy. The Lotus Bakeries' "Care for Today, Respect for Tomorrow" sustainability strategy is the operational responsibility of the EXCO. As the CFO is the head of the global ESG department, it is his responsibility to bring biodiversity topics to the EXCO. The EXCO reports to the Board of Directors on the priorities and actions for approval when necessary. The biodiversity related issues have no specific scheduled meetings but are discussed as important matters arise.

[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

(4.5.3) Please explain

The Remuneration and Nomination Committee makes specific recommendations to the Board of Directors on the remuneration of members of the executive management. In addition to the fixed remuneration there is a variable compensation for members of the executive management, which depends on the results of the Lotus Bakeries Group. The variable remuneration is based on amongst other on recurrent operating cash flow and recurrent operational result. As Lotus Bakeries believes that managing climate-related issues contributes to cash flow and operational result climate-related issues and targets are indirectly part of the key performance indicators taken into account.

Forests

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

(4.5.3) Please explain

The Remuneration and Nomination Committee makes specific recommendations to the Board of Directors on the remuneration of members of the executive management. In addition to the fixed remuneration there is a variable compensation for members of the executive management, which depends on the results of the Lotus Bakeries Group. The variable remuneration is based on amongst other on recurrent operating cash flow and recurrent operational result. As Lotus Bakeries believes that managing climate-related issues contributes to cash flow and operational result climate-related issues and targets are indirectly part of the key performance indicators taken into account.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

(4.5.3) Please explain

The Remuneration and Nomination Committee makes specific recommendations to the Board of Directors on the remuneration of members of the executive management. In addition to the fixed remuneration there is a variable compensation for members of the executive management, which depends on the results of the Lotus Bakeries Group. The variable remuneration is based on amongst other on recurrent operating cash flow and recurrent operational result. As Lotus Bakeries believes that managing climate-related issues contributes to cash flow and operational result climate-related issues and targets are indirectly part of the key performance indicators taken into account.

[Fixed row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

- ☒ Forests

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Lotus Bakeries' packaging policy covers sourcing of our materials in a sustainable way (upstream value chain), but also design for recyclability so that it can be recycled at its end of life (downstream value chain). All packaging developed needs to run on Lotus Bakeries' production lines, showing the involvement of our own direct operations.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- ☒ Commitment to a circular economy strategy
- ☒ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- ☒ Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance
- ☒ Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues

Forests-specific commitments

- ☒ Other forests-related commitment, please specify :Certified Fibers

Additional references/Descriptions

- ☒ Description of environmental requirements for procurement

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, in line with the Paris Agreement

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☒ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

2024 Packaging policy Lotus Bakeries_0.pdf

Row 2

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Forests

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

☒ Upstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Our palm oil policy describes the requirements set to our palm oil suppliers (upstream) and to our internal procurement team (direct operations)

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Forests-specific commitments

- ☒ Commitment to conduct or support restoration and/or compensation to remedy for past deforestation or conversion
- ☒ Commitment to no deforestation, to no planting on peatlands, and to no exploitation (NDPE) by target date, please specify :Target date not specified
- ☒ Commitment to no-conversion of natural ecosystems by target date, please specify :Target date not specified
- ☒ Commitment to no-deforestation by target date, please specify :Date not specified

Social commitments

- ☒ Adoption of the UN International Labour Organization principles

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- ☒ Yes, in line with another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify :RSPO

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- ☒ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

2020 Lotus Bakeries Palm oil policy_EN.pdf

Row 3

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

- ☒ Forests
- ☒ Water
- ☒ Biodiversity

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Our environmental policy describes how we operate in an environmentally responsible manner throughout our entire supply chain. It is part of Lotus Bakeries' sustainability programme "Care for Today, Respect for tomorrow" and applies to our global operations.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- ☒ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- ☒ Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance

Climate-specific commitments

- ☒ Commitment to net-zero emissions

Forests-specific commitments

- ☒ Commitment to no deforestation, to no planting on peatlands, and to no exploitation (NDPE) by target date, please specify :end of 2025

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, in line with the Paris Agreement

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☒ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

2024 Environmental Policy Lotus Bakeries _0.pdf

Row 4

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Forests

☒ Water

☒ Biodiversity

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

☒ Upstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Our sustainable sourcing policy describes how we operate in an environmentally responsible manner throughout our entire supply chain. It is part of Lotus Bakeries' sustainability programme "Care for Today, Respect for tomorrow" and applies to our global operations. Its goal is to help build greater resilience in supply chains by preserving the environment while ensuring welfare for all along its value chain and by setting high ethical business standards.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☒ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

Forests-specific commitments

☒ Commitment to no deforestation, to no planting on peatlands, and to no exploitation (NDPE) by target date, please specify :End 2025

Social commitments

☒ Commitment to respect internationally recognized human rights

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, in line with another global environmental treaty or policy goal, please specify :Human Rights

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☒ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

2024 Sustainable sourcing policy _0.pdf
[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

☒ Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO)

☒ Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)

☒ UN Global Compact

☒ Other, please specify :Flexible Plastics Fund + CEFLEX

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

Lotus Bakeries is member of the RSPO and the Belgian Alliance for Sustainable Palm Oil. We select key palm oil suppliers carefully on the basis of the NDPE principle (No Deforestation, No Peat, No Exploitation) in its purchasing standards. All Lotus Bakeries' sites that use or purchase palm oil also have an RSPO certificate. Lotus Bakeries has signed the Business Ambition for 1.5°C Commitment Letter in March 2023. The target and roadmap is currently under development. Lotus Bakeries has been a UN global compact signatory since 2021. Lotus Bakeries is an active member of the CEFLEX initiative since 2020 and actively participates in the working groups concerning the development of design guidelines for a circular economy (D4ACE). Furthermore, Lotus Bakeries applies the already existing CEFLEX guidelines to develop recyclable flexible packaging. Lotus Bakeries has been a member of the Flexible Plastic Fund since its establishment in 2021 through the brands Lotus Bakeries UK Ltd., Natural Balance Food and Kiddylicious. Lotus Bakeries actively participates in the funder meetings and financially supports the Flexcollect trials to pilot household collections in UK and the retail collections of flexible plastics.

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

(4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement

SBTi commitment letter.pdf

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Lotus Bakeries has a communication plan in place to train and educate employees on our sustainability commitments, including our commitment to SBTi and the Paris agreement. Because of this training, the employees are sufficiently trained to ensure that any external engagement activities through associations is done in line with our commitment.

[Fixed row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

- ☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Global

- ☒ Consumer Goods Forum (CGF)

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
☒ Forests
☒ Water

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

- ☒ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

The working groups on climate, sustainable sourcing and plastic usage is consistent with Lotus Bakeries policies as shared in another question in this section.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

15000

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

This funding is a membership fee. Members have voting rights at the General Meetings and are allowed to publicly state that they are a member. They can also be elected to the Board as representatives of their value chain and actively influence processes by participating in technical committees.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

Row 2

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Europe

☒ Other trade association in Europe, please specify :FEVIA - federation of the Belgian food industry

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

Fevia sets ambitious goals on food waste and packaging reduction, which is aligned with Lotus Bakeries' vision

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

57840

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

This funding is a membership fee. Members have voting rights at the General Meetings and are allowed to publicly state that they are a member. They can also be elected to the Board as representatives of their value chain and actively influence processes by participating in technical committees.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☒ In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with

Select all that apply

☒ ESRS

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Forests
- ☒ Water

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- ☒ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Strategy | ☒ Value chain engagement |
| ☒ Governance | ☒ Dependencies & Impacts |
| ☒ Emission targets | ☒ Water accounting figures |
| ☒ Emissions figures | ☒ Content of environmental policies |
| ☒ Risks & Opportunities | ☒ Deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status metrics |
| ☒ Other, please specify : Packaging data | |

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Chapter 4

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

2024_Annual_Report_Lotus_Bakeries_Group.pdf

(4.12.1.8) Comment

Our CSRD compliant sustainability statement is embedded in our integrated annual report.
[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

We have not performed a scenario analysis yet for climate change, however, with our SBTi commitment and the corresponding reduction plan, we plan to perform a scenario analysis in the coming year.

Forests

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

We have not performed a scenario analysis yet for forests, however, with the EU Deforestation Regulation and EU Due Diligence Directive, we plan to perform a scenario analysis in the coming year.

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

We have not performed a scenario analysis yet for water, as water is determined to be not material according to our Double Materiality Analysis. However, we find it important to keep track of our water balance and plan to perform a scenario analysis in the future.

[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a climate transition plan which aligns with a 1.5°C world

(5.2.3) Publicly available climate transition plan

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.2.4) Plan explicitly commits to cease all spending on, and revenue generation from, activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to add an explicit commitment within the next two years

(5.2.6) Explain why your organization does not explicitly commit to cease all spending on and revenue generation from activities that contribute to fossil fuel expansion

Lotus Bakeries uses fossil fuels for the production of biscuits and other snacks. While we believe that reduction of fossil fuels is an important action lever in the reduction of our scope 1 emissions, this will need to be done in a way respecting the quality of our products. Therefore, no hard commitments are made to protect our product quality.

(5.2.7) Mechanism by which feedback is collected from shareholders on your climate transition plan

Select from:

☒ We have a different feedback mechanism in place

(5.2.8) Description of feedback mechanism

The climate transition plan is voted by the board of directors, which are selected by all shareholders.

(5.2.9) Frequency of feedback collection

Select from:

☒ Annually

(5.2.10) Description of key assumptions and dependencies on which the transition plan relies

Please refer to our annual report where this is described in detail.

(5.2.11) Description of progress against transition plan disclosed in current or previous reporting period

Our climate transition plan was initiated in 2024, therefore there is not yet information on progress to be shared

(5.2.12) Attach any relevant documents which detail your climate transition plan (optional)

2024_Annual_Report_Lotus_Bakeries_Group.pdf

(5.2.13) Other environmental issues that your climate transition plan considers

Select all that apply

☒ Forests

(5.2.14) Explain how the other environmental issues are considered in your climate transition plan

Our climate transition plan holds a no-deforestation commitment.

[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

☒ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

☒ Products and services

☒ Upstream/downstream value chain

☒ Investment in R&D

☒ Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Forests

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Climate change: our strategy is focussed on offering the right snack for every person in every situation. This means we offer our products in different portion sizes to fit every family situation. This results in significant reductions in food waste. Furthermore, our packaging is developed in such a way that it protects and preserves the product till the end of shelf life to prevent food waste. We have a packaging strategy that limits the use of packaging and designs the packaging to be recyclable. Furthermore, we performing beyond value chain mitigation for our scope 1&2 Forests: The packaging strategy of Lotus bakeries includes the minimization of cardboard materials and the sourcing of cardboard preferably from recycled fibres.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

- ☒ Forests

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Key suppliers of Lotus Bakeries are requested to sign the Suppliers Code of Conduct. This Code asks the supplier to put in place a system, appropriate to its size and operations, to manage, measure, assess and control the environmental aspects of its operations, including but not limited to: * processes aimed at reducing waste, diminishing energy consumption, minimising emission and preventing pollution; * conservation of biodiversity, including endangered flora and fauna (no deforestation) and attention to soil conservation; * respect for water resources and assurance of proper (waste) water management; * no use of illegal products and minimization of the use of pesticides and other legal chemicals. 2) The sourced palmoil is RSPO certified 3) The Lotus Biscoff chocolate is Rainforest Alliance Certified 4) We are engaging with suppliers upstream and downstream in order to reach our SBTi targets.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Forests

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

The R&D team is focussed on making 98% of Lotus Bakeries' packaging for all its brands recyclable by end of 2025. In order to obtain this, resources are dedicated to the sustainability of the packaging. This team investigates new packaging solutions, materials and technologies. The R&D Department works together with external research centres and collaborates in external projects.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Forests

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Lotus Bakeries' owned sites emissions are offset through Beyond Value Chain Mitigation annually, as certified by Vinçotte. This has been the case since 2015. The operations teams in all plants are continuously looking for management techniques to reduce waste and decrease the use of energy per ton produced. The SBTi targets of Lotus Bakeries will push this further towards its limits.

[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct costs

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Forests

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Sourcing of raw materials: - Increased cost in sourcing 100% RSPO palm oil - Increased cost in sourcing 100% Rainforest Alliance certified cacao for Lotus Biscoff Chocolate bars - Increased cost for sourcing recyclable materials for our packaging - Reduction in cost for minimized packaging

Row 2

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

- ☒ Indirect costs

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Forests

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

- Membership fees for various organisations working on sustainability - Offsetting CO2 emissions through beyond value chain mitigation - Advise on climate change related topics - Advise on forest related topics - Cost of certification and assurance by external parties - Electrical company cars - Research on stepping away from gas as primary heating source

Row 3

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

☒ Capital expenditures

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

- Electrical charging points installed - Switch to led lighting - Solar panel investments - Battery investments - Waste water treatment investments - Installation of retention pond and dam for our new factory in Thailand - Investment in capacity expansion close to customers to reduce transport - Electrical pilot oven
[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition	Methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization's climate transition	Indicate the level at which you identify the alignment of your spending/revenue with a sustainable finance taxonomy
	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes	<i>Select all that apply</i> ☒ A sustainable finance taxonomy	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ At the organization level only

[Fixed row]

(5.4.1) Quantify the amount and percentage share of your spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition.

Row 1

(5.4.1.1) Methodology or framework used to assess alignment

Select from:

☒ A sustainable finance taxonomy

(5.4.1.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☒ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.1.3) Objective under which alignment is being reported

Select from:

☒ Total across climate change mitigation and climate change adaption

(5.4.1.4) Indicate whether you are reporting eligibility information for the selected objective

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.4.1.5) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ Revenue/Turnover

(5.4.1.6) Amount of selected financial metric that is aligned in the reporting year (currency)

0

(5.4.1.7) Percentage share of selected financial metric aligned in the reporting year (%)

0

(5.4.1.8) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2025 (%)

0

(5.4.1.9) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2030 (%)

0

(5.4.1.10) Percentage share of financial metric that is taxonomy-eligible in the reporting year (%)

0

(5.4.1.11) Percentage share of financial metric that is taxonomy non-eligible in the reporting year (%)

100

(5.4.1.12) Details of the methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization's climate transition

According to EU taxonomy

Row 2

(5.4.1.1) Methodology or framework used to assess alignment

Select from:

☒ A sustainable finance taxonomy

(5.4.1.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☒ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.1.3) Objective under which alignment is being reported

Select from:

☒ Total across climate change mitigation and climate change adaption

(5.4.1.4) Indicate whether you are reporting eligibility information for the selected objective

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.4.1.5) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ CAPEX

(5.4.1.6) Amount of selected financial metric that is aligned in the reporting year (currency)

0

(5.4.1.7) Percentage share of selected financial metric aligned in the reporting year (%)

0

(5.4.1.8) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2025 (%)

0

(5.4.1.9) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2030 (%)

0

(5.4.1.10) Percentage share of financial metric that is taxonomy-eligible in the reporting year (%)

40

(5.4.1.11) Percentage share of financial metric that is taxonomy non-eligible in the reporting year (%)

60

(5.4.1.12) Details of the methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization's climate transition

According to EU taxonomy

Row 3

(5.4.1.1) Methodology or framework used to assess alignment

Select from:

☒ A sustainable finance taxonomy

(5.4.1.2) Taxonomy under which information is being reported

Select from:

☒ EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Activities

(5.4.1.3) Objective under which alignment is being reported

Select from:

☒ Total across climate change mitigation and climate change adaption

(5.4.1.4) Indicate whether you are reporting eligibility information for the selected objective

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.4.1.5) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ OPEX

(5.4.1.6) Amount of selected financial metric that is aligned in the reporting year (currency)

0

(5.4.1.7) Percentage share of selected financial metric aligned in the reporting year (%)

0

(5.4.1.8) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2025 (%)

0

(5.4.1.9) Percentage share of selected financial metric planned to align in 2030 (%)

0

(5.4.1.10) Percentage share of financial metric that is taxonomy-eligible in the reporting year (%)

4

(5.4.1.11) Percentage share of financial metric that is taxonomy non-eligible in the reporting year (%)

96

(5.4.1.12) Details of the methodology or framework used to assess alignment with your organization's climate transition

According to EU taxonomy

[Add row]

(5.4.3) Provide any additional contextual and/or verification/assurance information relevant to your organization’s taxonomy alignment.

	Additional contextual information relevant to your taxonomy accounting	Indicate whether you will be providing verification/assurance information relevant to your taxonomy alignment in question 13.1
	The EU taxonomy is part of our annual report, which has received limited assurance from our auditor.	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization’s water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

0

(5.9.5) Please explain

Water was not determined to be a material topic for Lotus Bakeries. Therefore, there is no specific action plan for investments in CAPEX or OPEX for water-related topics. However, this does not mean there is no CAPEX spend on this topic. For example, in our new production site in Thailand, a retention pond was installed to capture and store water in a sustainable way.

[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

(5.10.3) Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.10.4) Explain why your organization does not price environmental externalities

The pricing strategy of Lotus Bakeries incorporates a variety of factors that are determined by our pricing department. At this moment, this does not involve any internal price on environmental factors such as water or carbon.

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

Suppliers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Forests
- ☒ Plastics

Smallholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

(5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

In light of the EU DR, further investigation in our value chain is planned, but because of priorities, this has not yet been executed

Customers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

- ☒ Forests
- ☒ Plastics

Investors and shareholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Forests
- ☒ Plastics

Other value chain stakeholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Forests
- ☒ Plastics

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

☒ Impact on deforestation or conversion of other natural ecosystems

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☒ 51-75%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

This is part of the analysis for our SBTi supplier engagement. Suppliers having low carbon maturity are classified as having substantive dependencies and/or impact on the environment

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Unknown

Forests

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Impact on deforestation or conversion of other natural ecosystems

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☒ 76-99%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

This is part of the analysis for EUDR due diligence. Suppliers are scored on multiple parameter to determine if they have substantive dependencies and/or impact on the environment

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Unknown

Plastics

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to climate change
- ☒ Strategic status of suppliers
- ☒ Other, please specify :Impact on Scope 3

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

We engage with suppliers on climate change and prioritize this based on their impact on our scope 3 carbon emissions, as reduction by these suppliers will significantly impact our scope 3 emissions. In this, we prioritize long-term suppliers with who we have a good working collaboration, as these partners will also be preferred partners in the future.

Forests

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to forests
- ☒ Business risk mitigation

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

In light of the EU DR, discussions with suppliers on forest topics are mainly had with these suppliers who supply us raw materials that are at risk under the EU DR legislation. The same methodology will however be further rolled-out to other suppliers in the future.

Plastics

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Material sourcing

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Engagement with suppliers on plastic topics are preferentially had with our plastic suppliers as they are closest to the topic.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☒ Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☒ No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Suppliers are requested to sign the Lotus Bakeries' suppliers code of conduct and inform us about the actions that have been taken to be compliant.

Forests

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☒ Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☒ No, we do not have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Suppliers are requested to sign the Lotus Bakeries' suppliers code of conduct and inform us about the actions that have been taken to be compliant. Our palm oil suppliers are further requested to sign our palm oil policy.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ Waste and resource reduction and material circularity

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- ☒ Other, please specify :Signing of supplier code of conduct

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ 76-99%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ 100%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ 76-99%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Engagement by procurement teams

(5.11.6.12) Comment

The requirement to reduce waste in the organisation is included in our supplier code of conduct, which needs to be signed by 100% of our supplier. In 2024, 96,4% of our supplier has signed our code of conduct. In case of non-compliance with this signature, this will be integrated in the procurement discussions and top-to-top meetings.

Forests

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ No deforestation or conversion of other natural ecosystems

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☒ Supplier self-assessment

☒ Other, please specify :Sign palm oil policy

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Engagement by procurement team

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Our palm oil suppliers should commit to no deforestation and no conversion of HCV and HCS areas. Therefore, they need to sign or endorse the palm oil policy. This is a requirement for 100% of our palm oil suppliers. Currently, all palm oil suppliers have signed or endorsed this policy. In case of non-compliance, this is taken up by the procurement team.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Setting a science-based emissions reduction target

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☒ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 26-50%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 26-50%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify :Engagement with procurement team

(5.11.6.12) Comment

Suppliers are supposed to set science-based targets. We have recently started the project to engage with all suppliers to obtain this goal.
[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☒ No other supplier engagement

Forests

(5.11.7.1) Commodity

Select from:

☒ Palm oil

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☒ No other supplier engagement

Water

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

Plastics

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☒ No other supplier engagement

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☒ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

☒ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Our customers are important stakeholders in our sustainability strategy. We are their scope 3 and they want to be informed about the actions taken by Lotus Bakeries in this topic. Engagement occurs through our annual report and through the key account members for these customers.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

It is clear that increasing engagement with our customers leads to increasing enthusiasm by these customers and increased sales volumes for certain customers. There are no specific factors of success determined yet.

Forests

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☒ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

☒ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Our customers are increasingly demanding information about our due diligence system in light of the EU DR and EU DDD. Therefore, we engage with our customers on an ad hoc basis to explain our methodologies for the future requirements.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

It is clear that increasing engagement with our customers leads to increasing trust in our method of working when due diligence is concerned. There are no specific factors of success determined yet.

[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

(5.13.1) Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.13.2) Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.13.3) Explain why your organization has not implemented any environmental initiatives

Our environmental policy is designed to optimize our products and processes for all our customers. Therefore, collaboration with specific customers is generally not done as we go for the most impactful projects that offer advantages for all our customers.

[Fixed row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Financial control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Lotus Bakeries has financial control over all its operating sites and sales offices. It is thus logical do our consolidation via the financial control approach.

Forests

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Financial control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Lotus Bakeries has financial control over all its operating sites and sales offices. It is thus logical do our consolidation via the financial control approach.

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Financial control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Lotus Bakeries has financial control over all its operating sites and sales offices. It is thus logical do our consolidation via the financial control approach.

Plastics

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Financial control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Lotus Bakeries has financial control over all its operating sites and sales offices. It is thus logical do our consolidation via the financial control approach.

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Financial control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Lotus Bakeries has financial control over all its operating sites and sales offices. It is thus logical do our consolidation via the financial control approach.

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	Select all that apply ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
	Select from: ☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	Select from: ☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	We calculate and report both the market-based and location-based figure. For targets, we use the market-based figure.

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

27825

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This value includes all scope 1 emissions from own plants and sales offices. This figure includes stationary combustion, mobile combustion, process emissions and fugitive emissions.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12235

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This value includes all emissions from own plants and sales offices, including electricity use for electrical company cars.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12646

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This value includes all emissions from own plants and sales offices, including electricity use for electrical company cars. For calculation of the market-based figure, there are two approaches depending on the data available. If the split between energy sources is known, emissions are calculated per source with their specific emission factor. When the split between energy sources is not known, the residual mix was used for EU countries and for the others the assumption is taken that market-based = location-based.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

411469

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This value includes data from our sourced raw materials, sourced packaging materials, co-manufacturing, sub-contracting and other services. It is clear that most of the emissions relate to sourcing of raw materials.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

25099

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This value includes the data from capital goods such as land and building, plant, machinery and equipment, furniture, office equipment, vehicles, right-of-use assets and assets under construction. For assets under construction, the emission factor of equipment is used, as the majority of assets under construction are equipment.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7994

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This value is calculated based on the raw data that was submitted by the plants and sales offices on energy-related activities.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

45387

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This value includes inbound freight of raw materials and packaging (based on t.km), outbound freight of finished goods (t.km) and warehousing (spend-based, k€)

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This value is calculated based on the reported waste figures by plants and sales offices per kg of waste and per type of waste.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

2294

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This value is calculated based on the business travel that was done by employees of Lotus Bakeries. This takes into account the distance, mode of travel and class in which was travelled (if applicable)

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

2343

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This value includes the home-work commute of Lotus Bakeries employees who don't use a company car. This takes into account the distance travelled and mode of travel.

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

574

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Leased assets

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5320

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This value includes the transportation which was paid by the customer and further distribution towards retail and consumer. The retail and consumer distribution is calculated according to average Agribalyse values for distribution and retail.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

14542

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Food and packaging waste along the downstream value chain

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

794

(7.5.3) Methodological details

This value includes the emissions from investments in which Lotus Bakeries has minority shares under the FF2032 platform.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/30/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not applicable

[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

26033

(7.6.3) Methodological details

This value includes all scope 1 emissions from own plants and sales offices. This figure includes stationary combustion, mobile combustion, process emissions and fugitive emissions.

Past year 1

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

27825

(7.6.2) End date

12/30/2023

(7.6.3) Methodological details

This value includes all scope 1 emissions from own plants and sales offices. This figure includes stationary combustion, mobile combustion, process emissions and fugitive emissions.

[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12944

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11869

(7.7.4) Methodological details

This value includes all emissions from own plants and sales offices, including electricity use for electrical company cars.

Past year 1

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12235

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12648

(7.7.3) End date

12/30/2023

(7.7.4) Methodological details

This value includes all emissions from own plants and sales offices, including electricity use for electrical company cars.

[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

429148

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- ☒ Average data method
- ☒ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is calculated based on the guidelines of the greenhouse gas protocol. Activity data is primary data and is combined with emission factors from well-known databases to generate the total emissions. This category includes raw materials, packaging, co-manufacturing, co-packing and SG&A.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- ☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

37897

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- ☒ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is calculated based on the guidelines of the greenhouse gas protocol. Spend-based data is used to calculate the emissions related to this category. For the spend-based data, we refer to the financial statement. General emission-factors from well-known databases are used to generate the total emissions.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

7635

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Fuel-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is calculated based on the guidelines of the greenhouse gas protocol. Primary data is used to determine the amount and type of energy/fuel used. Emission factors from well-known databases are used to generate the final emissions.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

56875

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is calculated based on the guidelines of the greenhouse gas protocol and the GLEK platform. Primary data is used as activity data, determining the distances travel and load carried. This is translated to total emissions using emission factors in the GLEK platform.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1048

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is calculated based on the guidelines of the greenhouse gas protocol. Primary data from waste generated in our operations is submitted per production site. Emission factors from well-known databases are used to generate the final emissions.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

2415

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is calculated based on the guidelines of the greenhouse gas protocol. Primary data obtained from travel agencies is used and combined with emission factors from well-known databases.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

2836

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is calculated based on the guidelines of the greenhouse gas protocol. Primary data from employees is used, taking into account some assumptions such as days worked-from-home and a fixed transport mode. Primary data is combined with emission factors from well-known databases.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

291

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is calculated based on the guidelines of the greenhouse gas protocol. Spend-based data is combined with emission-factors from well-known databases.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

12186

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is calculated based on the guidelines of the greenhouse gas protocol and the GLEK platform. Primary data is used as activity data, determining the distances travel and load carried. This is translated to total emissions using emission factors in the GLEK platform.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

18

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This is a new category for Lotus Bakeries in 2024. This category is calculated based on the guidelines of the greenhouse gas protocol. Primary data from amount supplied to downstream manufacturers is combined with emission factors depending on the processing steps required to incorporate Lotus Bakeries products into the final goods.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is not relevant for Lotus Bakeries as we don't have any emissions in this category. Our biscuits and snacks can be consumed without any energy usage.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

18672

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average product method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is calculated using the guidelines as stated in the greenhouse gas protocol. Assumptions are made on the % food waste in the supply chain and the % packaging waste recycled.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is not relevant for Lotus Bakeries as we don't have any emissions in this category. We don't have any downstream leased assets.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is not relevant for Lotus Bakeries as we don't have any emissions in this category. We have no franchise agreements.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is deemed immaterial for Lotus Bakeries. The emissions related to investments is not significant and has therefore been set as not relevant.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is not relevant for Lotus Bakeries as we don't have any emissions in this category.

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

This category is not relevant for Lotus Bakeries as we don't have any emissions in this category.

[Fixed row]

(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

Past year 1

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/30/2023

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

411469

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

25099

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

7994

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

45387

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

986

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

2297

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

2343

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

574

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

5320

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

14542

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

794

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

No restatement
[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from:

	Verification/assurance status
	☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: ☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	Select from: ☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

2024 Limited assurance statement.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

Full file

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE3000

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☒ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

2024 Limited assurance statement.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Full file

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE3000

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 2

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☒ Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

2024 Limited assurance statement.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

Full file

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE3000

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 3: Franchises
- ☒ Scope 3: Investments
- ☒ Scope 3: Capital goods
- ☒ Scope 3: Business travel
- ☒ Scope 3: Employee commuting
- ☒ Scope 3: Waste generated in operations
- ☒ Scope 3: End-of-life treatment of sold products
- ☒ Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution
- ☒ Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution
- ☒ Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)
- ☒ Scope 3: Use of sold products
- ☒ Scope 3: Upstream leased assets
- ☒ Scope 3: Downstream leased assets
- ☒ Scope 3: Processing of sold products
- ☒ Scope 3: Purchased goods and services

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

- ☒ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

- ☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

2024 Limited assurance statement.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

Full file

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ ISAE3000

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

2803

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

6.9

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Additional solar panels installed in two production sites.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6244

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

15.43

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Shift to lower-carbon combustion sources in production site in South-Africa. Increased production efficiencies.

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No divestment

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No acquisitions

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No mergers

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6476

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

16

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Increased volume output

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change in methodology

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No Change in boundary

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change in physical operating conditions

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No unidentified changes

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change

[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.13) Is biogenic carbon pertaining to your direct operations relevant to your current CDP climate change disclosure?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.14) Do you calculate greenhouse gas emissions for each agricultural commodity reported as significant to your business?

Dairy & egg products

(7.14.1) GHG emissions calculated for this commodity

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.14.2) Reporting emissions by

Select from:

☒ Total

(7.14.3) Emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

15586

(7.14.5) Change from last reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(7.14.6) Please explain

Decreased emission factor eggs

Fruit

(7.14.1) GHG emissions calculated for this commodity

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.14.2) Reporting emissions by

Select from:

☒ Total

(7.14.3) Emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8681

(7.14.5) Change from last reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(7.14.6) Please explain

Increased volume purchased

Nuts

(7.14.1) GHG emissions calculated for this commodity

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.14.2) Reporting emissions by

Select from:

☒ Total

(7.14.3) Emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3611

(7.14.5) Change from last reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(7.14.6) Please explain

Different sourcing

Other grain (e.g., barley, oats)

(7.14.1) GHG emissions calculated for this commodity

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.14.2) Reporting emissions by

Select from:

☒ Total

(7.14.3) Emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

4860

(7.14.5) Change from last reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(7.14.6) Please explain

Increased volume purchased

Other oilseeds (e.g. rapeseed oil)

(7.14.1) GHG emissions calculated for this commodity

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.14.2) Reporting emissions by

Select from:

☒ Total

(7.14.3) Emissions (metric tons CO2e)

32023

(7.14.5) Change from last reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(7.14.6) Please explain

Increased volume purchased

Palm oil

(7.14.1) GHG emissions calculated for this commodity

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.14.2) Reporting emissions by

Select from:

☒ Total

(7.14.3) Emissions (metric tons CO2e)

91358

(7.14.5) Change from last reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(7.14.6) Please explain

More detailed information on sourcing region

Sugar

(7.14.1) GHG emissions calculated for this commodity

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.14.2) Reporting emissions by

Select from:

☒ Total

(7.14.3) Emissions (metric tons CO2e)

37864

(7.14.5) Change from last reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(7.14.6) Please explain

Increased volume purchased

Wheat

(7.14.1) GHG emissions calculated for this commodity

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.14.2) Reporting emissions by

Select from:

☒ Total

(7.14.3) Emissions (metric tons CO2e)

58334

(7.14.5) Change from last reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(7.14.6) Please explain

Increased volume purchased
[Fixed row]

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

25423.58

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

58.57

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ N2O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

277.85

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 4

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ HFCs

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

270.61

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.66

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.64

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.83

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4.04

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.37

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.37

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16332.97

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5931.15

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5075.47

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

29.09

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

45.53

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

45.53

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

12.61

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3.07

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.61

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1035.93

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

124.55

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

79.97

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

43.18

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.35

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

18.74

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

22.06

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.34

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.02

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.56

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.38

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.38

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2190.22

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1692.29

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2402.04

Republic of Korea

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7.91

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.32

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.32

South Africa

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3182.59

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1918.59

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1918.59

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

83.64

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.01

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.66

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

718.5

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.28

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

592.25

Switzerland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

82.95

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.62

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.62

Thailand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

31.18

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.32

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

9.32

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

99.24

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

113.91

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

97.25

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2155.88

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3055.11

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1599.6

[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☒ By facility

(7.17.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business facility.

Row 1

(7.17.2.1) Facility

LMSA

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3182.59

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

-33.419039

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

19.19936

Row 2

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Lembeke

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8754.49

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

51.194207

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

3.637111

Row 3

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Oostakker

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1123.07

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

51.07853

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

3.777851

Row 4

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Courcelles

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3711.21

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

50.492725

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

4.363057

Row 5

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Eeklo

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2426.01

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

51.179083

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

3.547392

Row 6

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Comines

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

798

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

50.766782

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

3.009671

Row 7

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Briec

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

237.43

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

48.100132

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-4.02674

Row 8

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Tyreso

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

718.5

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

59.240839

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

18.211616

Row 9

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Mebane

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2125.94

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

36.085662

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

-79.294852

Row 10

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Thailand

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

13.099075

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

101.278319

Row 11

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Sintjohannesga

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

936.7

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

52.934539

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

5.862436

Row 12

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Geldrop

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

714.21

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

51.423072

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

5.554235

Row 13

(7.17.2.1) Facility

Enkhuizen

(7.17.2.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

539.3

(7.17.2.3) Latitude

52.719034

(7.17.2.4) Longitude

5.282214

[Add row]

(7.18) Do you include emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) in your direct operations as part of your global gross Scope 1 figure?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.18.2) Report the Scope 1 emissions pertaining to your business activity(ies) and explain any exclusions. If applicable, disaggregate your agricultural/forestry by GHG emissions category.

Row 1

(7.18.2.1) Activity

Select from:

☒ Processing/Manufacturing

(7.18.2.3) Emissions (metric tons CO2e)

25865.07

(7.18.2.4) Methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Default emissions factor

(7.18.2.5) Please explain

All emissions are in processing/manufacturing for scope 1, except for distribution center in Lokeren.

Row 2

(7.18.2.1) Activity

Select from:

☒ Distribution

(7.18.2.3) Emissions (metric tons CO2e)

168.12

(7.18.2.4) Methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Default emissions factor

(7.18.2.5) Please explain

This is calculated according to the GHG protocol. These emissions are linked to our distribution center in Lokeren.

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☒ By facility

(7.20.2) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business facility.

Row 1

(7.20.2.1) Facility

LMSA

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1918.59

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1918.59

Row 2

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Lembeke

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3583.83

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

3130.2

Row 3

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Oostakker

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

698.62

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

605.68

Row 4

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Courcelles

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1066.49

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

840.51

Row 5

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Eeklo

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

520.02

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

460.6

Row 6

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Comines

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

98.62

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

63.32

Row 7

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Briec

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

25.92

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

16.64

Row 8

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Tyreso

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

21.28

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

592.25

Row 9

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Mebane

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3036.9

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1581.39

Row 10

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Thailand

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Row 11

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Sintjohannesga

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

765.04

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1085.9

Row 12

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Geldrop

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

510.05

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

723.97

Row 13

(7.20.2.1) Facility

Enkhuizen

(7.20.2.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

417.2

(7.20.2.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

592.17
[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

26033.19

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

12944.82

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

11868.56

(7.22.4) Please explain

All entities are included in the consolidated group

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

(7.22.4) Please explain

The response does not include any other entities aside from the consolidated accounting group
[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:

☒ Diversity of product lines makes accurately accounting for each product/product line cost ineffective

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Better tracking of gas usage and electricity usage per production line would offer this opportunity. We are investigating how we can optimize this in the future.
[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

	Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities
	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes	<i>We are investigating how we can track electricity and gas usage per production line to be able to do this in the future.</i>

[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:

☒ More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	<i>Select from:</i>

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
	☒ No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☒ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

131391

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

131391.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

1850

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

60677

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

62527.00

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

1615

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

1615.00

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

3465

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

192068

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

195533.00

[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: ☒ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: ☒ No

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

No sustainable biomass used

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

No other biomass used

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

No other renewable fuels used

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

2029

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

2029

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Consumption of coal is being phased out in 2025.

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

9215

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

1193

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

8022

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Oil is used to generate electricity in case of loadshedding in our plant in South-Africa (self generation of electricity). Other oil is used to heat ovens

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

120147

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

120147

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Gas is primarily used to heat ovens

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.8) Comment

Not used

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV

(7.30.7.2) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

131391

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of electricity

1193

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

130198

(7.30.7.8) Comment

*Sum of above
[Fixed row]*

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

2808

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

2808

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

1615

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

Heat**(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)**

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam**(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)**

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and/or cooling amounts that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the market-based Scope 2 figure reported in 7.7.

Row 1

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Belgium

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Purchase from an on-site installation owned by a third party (on-site PPA)

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1497

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Belgium

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

On-site installation owned by a third party which electricity was consumed by Lotus Bakeries

Row 2

(7.30.14.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.14.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Default delivered electricity from the grid (e.g. standard product offering by an energy supplier), supported by energy attribute certificates

(7.30.14.3) Energy carrier

Select from:

☒ Electricity

(7.30.14.4) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable energy mix, please specify :Biomass, hydropower, solar

(7.30.14.5) Low-carbon energy consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

353

(7.30.14.6) Tracking instrument used

Select from:

☒ Contract

(7.30.14.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon energy or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.14.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the energy generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.10) Comment

Purchased green electricity

[Add row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Australia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Austria

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Belgium

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

39263

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

39263.00

Canada

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Czechia

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

France

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1943

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1943.00

Germany

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Hong Kong SAR, China

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Italy

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Japan

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Netherlands

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

6190

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6190.00

Republic of Korea

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

South Africa

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1935

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1615

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3550.00

Spain

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Sweden

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1121

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1121.00

Switzerland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

Thailand

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

10693

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

10693.00

[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.00003077

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

37902

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☒ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

1231914000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

19.2

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☒ Change in renewable energy consumption

☒ Other emissions reduction activities

☒ Change in revenue

(7.45.9) Please explain

Limiting emissions in a growing company through production efficiencies, change to low-carbon heat sources, increased renewable energy consumption,...

Row 2

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.0000316

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

38977

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☒ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

1231914000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☒ Location-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

16

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☒ Change in renewable energy consumption

☒ Other emissions reduction activities

☒ Change in revenue

(7.45.9) Please explain

Limiting emissions in a growing company through production efficiencies, change to low-carbon heat sources, increased renewable energy consumption,...
[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☒ Energy usage

(7.52.2) Metric value

0.16

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Energy consumed (kWh)

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

per revenue - €1231914000

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

9.7

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Increased energy efficiency in production sites
[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

FLAG Near-Term Approval Letter_compressed.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

02/23/2025

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Methane (CH₄)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N₂O)

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO₂)

☒ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)

☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

☒ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆)

☒ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/30/2023

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO₂e)

27825

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

12648

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

40473.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/30/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

42

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

23474.340

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

26033

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

11869

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

37902.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

15.12

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ New

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

All emissions are included - no exclusions as we have control over all emissions

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Align with paris-agreement

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

See annual report for extended carbon reduction plan

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

Row 2

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 2

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

FLAG Near-Term Approval Letter_compressed.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

02/23/2025

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Methane (CH₄)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N₂O)

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO₂)

☒ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)

☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

☒ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆)

☒ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/30/2023

(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO₂e)

180079

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO₂e)

180079.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO₂e)

180079.000

(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

43.76

(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)

34.84

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

34.84

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/30/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

30

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

126055.300

(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

168930

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

168930.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

168930.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ Yes, it covers land-related emissions only (e.g. FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

20.64

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ New

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

This target covers 68% of FLAG emissions in base year and includes the 4 main sources of FLAG emissions: palm oil, chocolate & cocoa, wheat flour, rapeseed oil.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Align with paris Agreement

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

See annual report for extended carbon reduction plan

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

Row 3

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 3

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

FLAG Net-Zero Approval Letter_compressed.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

02/23/2025

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Methane (CH₄)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N₂O)

☒ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆)

☒ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃)

- ☒ Carbon dioxide (CO2)
- ☒ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)
- ☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

- ☒ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/30/2023

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

27825

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

12647

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

40472.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/30/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

90

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

4047.200

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

26033

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

11869

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

37902.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

7.06

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ New

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

All emissions are included - no exclusions as we have control over all emissions

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Align with Paris Agreement

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

See annual report for extended carbon reduction plan

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

Row 4

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 4

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

FLAG Net-Zero Approval Letter_compressed.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

02/23/2025

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Methane (CH₄)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N₂O)

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO₂)

☒ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)

☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

☒ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆)

☒ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/30/2023

(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

241610.2

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

241610.200

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

241610.200

(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

58.7

(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)

46.75

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

46.75

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/30/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

72

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

67650.856

(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

231641

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

231641.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

231641.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ Yes, it covers land-related emissions only (e.g. FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

5.73

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ New

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

This target covers 91,9% of FLAG emissions in base year and includes the 4 main sources of FLAG emissions: palm oil, chocolate & cocoa, wheat flour, rapeseed oil, completed with other main emitters such as chocolate bars, nuts, sugar, fructose syrup,...*

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Align with Paris Agreement

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

See annual report for extended carbon reduction plan

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

Row 5

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 5

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

FLAG Net-Zero Approval Letter_compressed.pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

- ☒ Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

02/23/2025

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Methane (CH ₄) | ☒ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF ₆) |
| ☒ Nitrous oxide (N ₂ O) | ☒ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF ₃) |
| ☒ Carbon dioxide (CO ₂) | |
| ☒ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) | |
| ☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) | |

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 3

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 3, Category 2 – Capital goods Scope 1 or 2)
- ☒ Scope 3, Category 3 – Fuel- and energy- related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)
- ☒ Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services
- ☒ Scope 3, Category 5 – Waste generated in operations
- ☒ Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution
- ☒ Scope 3, Category 9 – Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/30/2023

(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

148683.6

(7.53.1.15) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

25098.6

(7.53.1.16) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

7992.7

(7.53.1.17) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

45388

(7.53.1.18) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

(7.53.1.22) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

5319.7

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

233468.600

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

233468.600

(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

36.1

(7.53.1.36) Base year Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.37) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.38) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.39) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.43) Base year Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)

45.2

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

45.2

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/30/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

90

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

23346.860

(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

169687

(7.53.1.60) Scope 3, Category 2: Capital goods emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

37897

(7.53.1.61) Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

7635

(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

56875

(7.53.1.63) Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1048

(7.53.1.67) Scope 3, Category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

12186

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

285328.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

285328.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

-24.68

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ New

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

All non-FLAG emissions where we have a direct influence over

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

Align with Paris Agreement

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

See annual report for extended carbon reduction plan

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

- ☒ Targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production
- ☒ Net-zero targets
- ☒ Other climate-related targets

(7.54.1) Provide details of your targets to increase or maintain low-carbon energy consumption or production.

Row 1

(7.54.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

- ☒ Low 1

(7.54.1.2) Date target was set

12/30/2024

(7.54.1.3) Target coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

(7.54.1.4) Target type: energy carrier

Select from:

- ☒ All energy carriers

(7.54.1.5) Target type: activity

Select from:

- ☒ Consumption

(7.54.1.6) Target type: energy source

Select from:

☒ Renewable energy source(s) only

(7.54.1.7) End date of base year

12/30/2024

(7.54.1.8) Consumption or production of selected energy carrier in base year (MWh)

3465

(7.54.1.9) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in base year

2

(7.54.1.10) End date of target

12/30/2030

(7.54.1.11) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy at end date of target

0

(7.54.1.12) % share of low-carbon or renewable energy in reporting year

2

(7.54.1.13) % of target achieved relative to base year

0.00

(7.54.1.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ New

(7.54.1.16) Is this target part of an emissions target?

This will contribute to our net-zero target

(7.54.1.17) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

☒ No, it's not part of an overarching initiative

(7.54.1.19) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Full group energy consumption is in coverage - no exclusions

(7.54.1.20) Target objective

Increase % renewable energy consumed - no target % given

(7.54.1.21) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

See annual report

[Add row]

(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.

Row 1

(7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Oth 1

(7.54.2.2) Date target was set

12/30/2023

(7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

☒ Intensity

(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Energy consumption or efficiency

☒ kWh

(7.54.2.6) Target denominator (intensity targets only)

Select from:

☒ metric ton of product

(7.54.2.7) End date of base year

12/30/2023

(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

1029

(7.54.2.9) End date of target

12/30/2030

(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

944.09

(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

82.4368932039

(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year*Select from:*☒ New**(7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?***It will contribute to our SBTi targets***(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?***Select all that apply*☒ No, it's not part of an overarching initiative**(7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions***Includes all energy consumed within Lotus Bakeries group***(7.54.2.19) Target objective***Reduce energy intensity per tonne produced by 10% by 2030 compared to the 2023 base year***(7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year***See annual report*

Row 2

(7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Oth 1

(7.54.2.2) Date target was set

02/23/2025

(7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

☒ Absolute

(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Engagement with suppliers

☒ Percentage of suppliers (by emissions) with a science-based target

(7.54.2.7) End date of base year

12/30/2023

(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

0

(7.54.2.9) End date of target

12/30/2029

(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

89

(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

23

(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

25.8426966292

(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ New

(7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

Part of SBTi

(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

☒ Science Based Targets initiative – approved supplier engagement target

(7.54.2.17) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

FLAG Near-Term Approval Letter_compressed.pdf

(7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Coverage is purchased goods and services & upstream transportation and distribution (all non-flag)

(7.54.2.19) Target objective

Scope 3 - Non-FLAG: Lotus Bakeries commits that 89% of its suppliers of emissions covering purchased goods and services, upstream transportation and distribution, will have science-based targets by 2029.

(7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

See annual report

[Add row]

(7.54.3) Provide details of your net-zero target(s).

Row 1

(7.54.3.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ NZ1

(7.54.3.2) Date target was set

02/23/2025

(7.54.3.3) Target Coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.54.3.4) Targets linked to this net zero target

Select all that apply

☒ Abs1

- ☒ Abs2
- ☒ Abs3
- ☒ Abs4
- ☒ Abs5

(7.54.3.5) End date of target for achieving net zero

12/30/2050

(7.54.3.6) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.54.3.7) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

FLAG Net-Zero Approval Letter_compressed.pdf

(7.54.3.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2
- ☒ Scope 3

(7.54.3.9) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Methane (CH ₄) | ☒ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF ₆) |
| ☒ Nitrous oxide (N ₂ O) | ☒ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF ₃) |
| ☒ Carbon dioxide (CO ₂) | |
| ☒ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) | |
| ☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) | |

(7.54.3.10) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Fully covered

(7.54.3.11) Target objective

Net Zero by 2050

(7.54.3.12) Do you intend to neutralize any residual emissions with permanent carbon removals at the end of the target?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.54.3.13) Do you plan to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and we have already acted on this in the reporting year

(7.54.3.14) Do you intend to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization and/or beyond value chain mitigation?

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, we are currently purchasing and cancelling carbon credits for beyond value chain mitigation

☒ Yes, we plan to purchase and cancel carbon credits for neutralization at the end of the target

(7.54.3.15) Planned milestones and/or near-term investments for neutralization at the end of the target

Project with Colruyt Group & Duvel Moortgat to plant forest in Republic of Congo

(7.54.3.16) Describe the actions to mitigate emissions beyond your value chain

Avoidance carbon credits purchased in 2024 and will continue until net zero

(7.54.3.17) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ New

(7.54.3.19) Process for reviewing target

Annual report

[Add row]

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	6	`Numeric input
To be implemented	1	220
Implementation commenced	7	6080
Implemented	9	7740
Not to be implemented	0	`Numeric input

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Fuel switch

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

5300

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Financial impact not disclosed

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Smart control system

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

100

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Financial impact not disclosed

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption

☒ Solar PV

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

2300

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2 (location-based)
- ☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

- ☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

- ☒ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

- ☒ Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Financial impact not disclosed

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Cooling technology

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

20

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Financial impact not disclosed

Row 5

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Fugitive emissions reductions

☒ Refrigerant leakage reduction

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

20

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Financial impact not disclosed

Row 6

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Company policy or behavioral change

☒ Supplier engagement

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

0

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods & services

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Financial impact not disclosed

Row 7

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Transportation

☒ Other, please specify :Green truck

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

0

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation & distribution

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Financial impact not disclosed

[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for other emissions reduction activities

(7.55.3.2) Comment

special project teams are set up to work on specific projects

[Add row]

(7.68) Do you encourage your suppliers to undertake any agricultural or forest management practices with climate change mitigation and/or adaptation benefits?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.68.1) Specify which agricultural or forest management practices with climate change mitigation and/or adaptation benefits you encourage your suppliers to undertake and describe your role in the implementation of each practice.

Row 1

(7.68.1.1) Management practice reference number

Select from:

☒ MP1

(7.68.1.2) Management practice

Select from:

☒ Reforestation

(7.68.1.3) Description of management practice

(7.68.1.4) Your role in the implementation

Select all that apply

☒ Procurement

(7.68.1.5) Explanation of how you encourage implementation

We ask our palm oil suppliers to sign and comply with the our palm oil policy

(7.68.1.6) Climate change related benefit

Select all that apply

☒ Increase carbon sink (mitigation)

(7.68.1.7) Comment

Our suppliers are demanded to sign our palm oil policy, striving for no deforestation, no conversion and no peat degradation. 100% of our palm suppliers has signed this policy.

Row 2

(7.68.1.1) Management practice reference number

Select from:

☒ MP2

(7.68.1.2) Management practice

Select from:

☒ Waste management

(7.68.1.3) Description of management practice

We demand from our suppliers to implement processes to reduce waste in their organisations, leading to less waste in the value chain.

(7.68.1.4) Your role in the implementation

Select all that apply

☒ Procurement

(7.68.1.5) Explanation of how you encourage implementation

We ask our suppliers to sign and comply with the Suppliers Code of Conduct

(7.68.1.6) Climate change related benefit

Select all that apply

☒ Emissions reductions (mitigation)

☒ Increase carbon sink (mitigation)

(7.68.1.7) Comment

Our suppliers are demanded to sign our Supplier Code of Conduct. This code of conduct requests multiple efforts from our suppliers, both on a sustainable and a governance level. One of the topics requested is implementing strategies to reduce waste in their operations.

[Add row]

(7.68.2) Do you collect information from your suppliers about the outcomes of any implemented agricultural/forest management practices you have encouraged?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.70) Do you know if any of the management practices mentioned in 7.68.1 that were implemented by your suppliers have other impacts besides climate change mitigation/adaptation?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:

☒ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.79.1) Provide details of the project-based carbon credits retired by your organization in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

☒ Biomass energy

(7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

☒ Emissions reduction

(7.79.1.3) Project description

Prior to the proposed project, the wastewater from alcohol production was treated through a series of deep open anaerobic lagoons without biogas recovery. The methane would have been released into the atmosphere. The equivalent electricity generated by the proposed project would have otherwise been from North China Power Grid (NCPG) which is fossil fuel dominated. The equivalent heat of the proposed project has otherwise been from coal boiler. The baseline scenario is the same with scenario prior to the project. The proposed project activity will install wastewater treatment equipment, biogas storage and purification equipment, biogas

generator and waste heat boiler to utilize the biogas to generate electricity and heat. The electricity and heat generated will be used for the production of Jinyimeng to replace those from NCPG and coal boilers. Therefore, the proposed project would significantly reduce GHG emissions.

(7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

28683

(7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement

Select from:

☒ Voluntary offsetting

(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.79.1.7) Vintage of credits at retirement

2022

(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?

Select from:

☒ Purchased

(7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued

Select from:

☒ VCS/Verra (Verified Carbon Standard)

(7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project

Select all that apply

☒ Standardized Approaches

(7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk

Select all that apply

☒ No risk of reversal

(7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed

Select all that apply

☒ Upstream/downstream emissions

(7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

None

(7.79.1.14) Please explain

None

Row 2

(7.79.1.1) Project type

Select from:

☒ Wind

(7.79.1.2) Type of mitigation activity

Select from:

☒ Emissions reduction

(7.79.1.3) Project description

Wind farm in India

(7.79.1.4) Credits retired by your organization from this project in the reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

(7.79.1.5) Purpose of retirement*Select from:*☒ Voluntary offsetting**(7.79.1.6) Are you able to report the vintage of the credits at retirement?***Select from:*☒ No**(7.79.1.8) Were these credits issued to or purchased by your organization?***Select from:*☒ Purchased**(7.79.1.9) Carbon-crediting program by which the credits were issued***Select from:*☒ VCS/Verra (Verified Carbon Standard)**(7.79.1.10) Method the program uses to assess additionality for this project***Select all that apply*☒ Standardized Approaches**(7.79.1.11) Approaches by which the selected program requires this project to address reversal risk***Select all that apply*☒ No risk of reversal**(7.79.1.12) Potential sources of leakage the selected program requires this project to have assessed***Select all that apply*

☒ Upstream/downstream emissions

(7.79.1.13) Provide details of other issues the selected program requires projects to address

None

(7.79.1.14) Please explain

None

[Add row]

C8. Environmental performance - Forests

(8.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of forests-related data?

	Exclusion from disclosure
Palm oil	Select from: ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(8.2) Provide a breakdown of your disclosure volume per commodity.

	Disclosure volume (metric tons)	Volume type	Sourced volume (metric tons)
Palm oil	27323	Select all that apply ☒ Sourced	27323

[Fixed row]

(8.6) Does your organization produce or source palm oil derived biofuel?

Select from:

☒ No

(8.7) Did your organization have a no-deforestation or no-conversion target, or any other targets for sustainable production/ sourcing of your disclosed commodities, active in the reporting year?

Palm oil

(8.7.1) Active no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a no-deforestation target

(8.7.2) No-deforestation or no-conversion target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide (including suppliers)

(8.7.5) Other active targets related to this commodity, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have other targets related to this commodity

[Fixed row]

(8.7.1) Provide details on your no-deforestation or no-conversion target that was active during the reporting year.

Palm oil

(8.7.1.1) No-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

☒ No-deforestation

(8.7.1.2) Your organization's definition of "no-deforestation" or "no-conversion"

Lotus Bakeries commits to no deforestation across its primary deforestation-linked commodities, with a target date of December 31, 2025

(8.7.1.3) Cutoff date

Select from:

☒ 2020

(8.7.1.4) Geographic scope of cutoff date

Select from:

☒ Applied globally

(8.7.1.5) Rationale for selecting cutoff date

Select from:

☒ Compliance with initiative, please specify :Science-Based target initiative

(8.7.1.6) Target date for achieving no-deforestation or no-conversion

Select from:

☒ 2025

Palm oil

(8.7.1.1) No-deforestation or no-conversion target

Select from:

☒ No-deforestation

(8.7.1.2) Your organization's definition of "no-deforestation" or "no-conversion"

For Lotus Bakeries we aim to source deforestation-free commodities subject to EUDR by the end of 2025

(8.7.1.3) Cutoff date

Select from:

☒ 2020

(8.7.1.4) Geographic scope of cutoff date

Select from:

☒ Country/area, please specify :European union

(8.7.1.5) Rationale for selecting cutoff date

Select from:

☒ Legal requirements

(8.7.1.6) Target date for achieving no-deforestation or no-conversion

Select from:

☒ 2025

[Add row]

(8.7.2) Provide details of other targets related to your commodities, including any which contribute to your no-deforestation or no-conversion target, and progress made against them.

Palm oil

(8.7.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Target 1

(8.7.2.2) Target contributes to no-deforestation or no-conversion target reported in 8.7

Select from:

☒ Yes, this target contributes to our no-deforestation target

(8.7.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide (direct operations only)

(8.7.2.4) Commodity volume covered by target (metric tons)

Select from:

☒ Total commodity volume

(8.7.2.5) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Third-party certification

☒ % of volume third-party certified

(8.7.2.7) Third-party certification scheme

Chain-of-custody certification

☒ RSPO supply chain certification - Mass Balance

☒ RSPO supply chain certification – Segregated

(8.7.2.8) Date target was set

12/31/2012

(8.7.2.9) End date of base year

12/30/2013

(8.7.2.10) Base year figure

0

(8.7.2.11) End date of target

12/30/2100

(8.7.2.12) Target year figure

100

(8.7.2.13) Reporting year figure

100

(8.7.2.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Achieved and maintained

(8.7.2.16) Global environmental treaties/ initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

☒ Sustainable Development Goals

(8.7.2.17) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Lotus Bakeries sources all its palm oil RSPO certified. Depending on the region, this is segregated or mass-balance. This target is applicable to all palm oil sourced within the group, there are no exclusions.

(8.7.2.19) List the actions which contributed most to achieving or maintaining this target

Good sourcing strategy

(8.7.2.20) Further details of target

This target will be maintained and further strengthened in the future based on the EU DR.

Palm oil

(8.7.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Target 2

(8.7.2.2) Target contributes to no-deforestation or no-conversion target reported in 8.7

Select from:

☒ Yes, this target contributes to our no-deforestation target

(8.7.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Country/area/region

(8.7.2.4) Commodity volume covered by target (metric tons)

Select from:

☒ Total commodity volume associated with operations or locations covered by target

(8.7.2.5) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Other target category, please specify

☒ Other target metric, please specify :Key suppliers of deforestation-linked commodities subject to EUDR are assessed and scored on sustainability criteria as per EUDR by end 2025.

(8.7.2.8) Date target was set

12/30/2024

(8.7.2.9) End date of base year

12/30/2024

(8.7.2.10) Base year figure

0

(8.7.2.11) End date of target

12/30/2025

(8.7.2.12) Target year figure

100

(8.7.2.13) Reporting year figure

0

(8.7.2.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ New

(8.7.2.15) % of target achieved relative to base year

0.00

(8.7.2.16) Global environmental treaties/ initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

☒ Sustainable Development Goals

(8.7.2.17) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

In accordance with upcoming legislation such as the European Deforestation Regulation (EUDR) and the Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive (CSDDD), we strive for the rights of people in our value chain to be respected and promoted. Therefore, in a first phase, we will conduct due diligence of suppliers subject to EUDR.

(8.7.2.18) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

See annual report

(8.7.2.20) Further details of target

See annual report

Palm oil

(8.7.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Target 3

(8.7.2.2) Target contributes to no-deforestation or no-conversion target reported in 8.7

Select from:

☒ Yes, this target contributes to our no-deforestation target

(8.7.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide (including suppliers)

(8.7.2.4) Commodity volume covered by target (metric tons)

Select from:

☒ Total commodity volume

(8.7.2.5) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Engagement with Tier 1 suppliers

☒ % of Tier 1 suppliers engaged

(8.7.2.8) Date target was set

12/30/2024

(8.7.2.9) End date of base year

12/30/2023

(8.7.2.10) Base year figure

94.2

(8.7.2.11) End date of target

12/30/2027

(8.7.2.12) Target year figure

100

(8.7.2.13) Reporting year figure

96.4

(8.7.2.14) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(8.7.2.15) % of target achieved relative to base year

37.93

(8.7.2.16) Global environmental treaties/ initiatives/ frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Select all that apply

- ☒ Paris Agreement
- ☒ Sustainable Development Goals

(8.7.2.17) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

We aim to have a robust foundation in the partnership with our suppliers. Therefore, we want to engage with 100% of our key suppliers

(8.7.2.18) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

See annual report

(8.7.2.20) Further details of target

See annual report

[Add row]

(8.8) Indicate if your organization has a traceability system to determine the origins of your sourced volumes and provide details of the methods and tools used.

Palm oil

(8.8.1) Traceability system

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(8.8.2) Methods/tools used in traceability system

Select all that apply

- ☒ Chain-of-custody certification

(8.8.3) Description of methods/tools used in traceability system

*It is part of the RSPO certification standard.
[Fixed row]*

(8.8.1) Provide details of the point to which your organization can trace its sourced volumes.

Palm oil

(8.8.1.1) % of sourced volume traceable to production unit

63

(8.8.1.2) % of sourced volume traceable to sourcing area and not to production unit

37

(8.8.1.3) % sourced volume traceable to country/area of origin and not to sourcing area or production unit

0

(8.8.1.4) % of sourced volume traceable to other point (i.e., processing facility/first importer) not in the country/area of origin

0

(8.8.1.5) % of sourced volume from unknown origin

0

(8.8.1.6) % of sourced volume reported

100.00
[Fixed row]

(8.9) Provide details of your organization's assessment of the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of its disclosed commodities.

Palm oil

(8.9.1) DF/DCF status assessed for this commodity

Select from:

☒ Yes, deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status assessed

(8.9.2) % of disclosure volume determined as DF/DCF in the reporting year

100

(8.9.3) % of disclosure volume determined as DF/DCF through a third-party certification scheme providing full DF/DCF assurance

63

(8.9.4) % of disclosure volume determined as DF/DCF through monitoring of production unit

0

(8.9.5) % of disclosure volume determined as DF/DCF through monitoring of sourcing area

0

(8.9.6) Is a proportion of your disclosure volume certified through a scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance?

Select from:

☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(8.9.1) Provide details of third-party certification schemes used to determine the deforestation-free (DF) or deforestation- and conversion-free (DCF) status of the disclosure volume, since specified cutoff date.

Palm oil

(8.9.1.1) Third-party certification scheme providing full DF/DCF assurance

Chain-of-custody certification

☒ RSPO supply chain certification – Segregated

(8.9.1.2) % of disclosure volume determined as DF/DCF through certification scheme providing full DF/DCF assurance

63

(8.9.1.3) Comment

RSPO certification

(8.9.1.4) Certification documentation

2024_RSPO_Lotus Bakeries RSPO certificate.pdf
[Add row]

(8.9.2) Provide details of third-party certification schemes not providing full DF/DCF assurance.

Palm oil

(8.9.2.1) Third-party certification scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Chain-of-custody certification

☒ RSPO - Mass Balance

(8.9.2.2) % of disclosure volume certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

37

(8.9.2.3) Additional control methods in place to determine DF/DCF status of volumes certified through scheme not providing full DF/DCF assurance

Select all that apply

☒ No

(8.9.2.4) Comment

Further compliance with DF/DCF status is obtained through signed palm oil policy

(8.9.2.5) Certification documentation

2024_RSPO_Lotus Bakeries RSPO certificate.pdf

[Add row]

(8.10) Indicate whether you have monitored or estimated the deforestation and conversion of other natural ecosystems footprint for your disclosed commodities.

	Monitoring or estimating your deforestation and conversion footprint	Primary reason for not monitoring or estimating deforestation and conversion footprint	Explain why you do not monitor or estimate your deforestation and conversion footprint
Palm oil	Select from: ☒ No, but we plan to monitor or estimate our deforestation and conversion footprint in the next two years	Select from: ☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)	This will be further investigated in the coming year in light of EU DR

[Fixed row]

(8.12) Indicate if certification details are available for the commodity volumes sold to requesting CDP Supply Chain members.

	Third-party certification scheme adopted	Certification details are available for the volumes sold to any requesting CDP Supply Chain members
Palm oil	Select from: ☒ Yes	Select from: ☒ We do not supply requesting members with goods and services containing this commodity

[Fixed row]

(8.13) Does your organization calculate the GHG emission reductions and/or removals from land use management and land use change that have occurred in your direct operations and/or upstream value chain?

	GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change calculated	Primary reason your organization does not calculate GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change	Explain why your organization does not calculate GHG emissions reductions and removals from land use management and land use change
Palm oil	Select from: ☒ No, but plan to do so in the next two years	Select from: ☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)	We currently do not quantify this yet

[Fixed row]

(8.14) Indicate if you assess your own compliance and/or the compliance of your suppliers with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards, and provide details.

(8.14.1) Assess legal compliance with forest regulations

Select from:

☒ Yes, from suppliers

(8.14.2) Aspects of legislation considered

Select all that apply

☒ Land use rights

☒ Environmental protection

☒ Labor rights

☒ Human rights protected under international law

(8.14.3) Procedure to ensure legal compliance

Select all that apply

☒ Certification

☒ Supplier self-declaration

(8.14.5) Please explain

The RSPO general standard is translated in national standards, taking into account local law. The RSPO certificate is only given if there is compliance with forest regulations and/or mandatory standards.

[Fixed row]

(8.15) Do you engage in landscape (including jurisdictional) initiatives to progress shared sustainable land use goals?

	Engagement in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives
	Select from: ☒ Yes, we engage in landscape/jurisdictional initiatives

[Fixed row]

(8.15.1) Indicate the criteria you consider when prioritizing landscapes and jurisdictions for engagement in collaborative approaches to sustainable land use and provide an explanation.

(8.15.1.1) Criteria for prioritizing landscapes/jurisdictions for engagement

Select all that apply

☒ Current and future sourcing risk

(8.15.1.2) Explain your process for prioritizing landscapes/jurisdictions for engagement

Lotus Bakeries engages for countries where an important volume is sourced and where there are high forest-related risks. We do that through participation in Round Tables, such as the Belgian Alliance for Sustainable Palm Oil.

[Fixed row]

(8.15.2) Provide details of your engagement with landscape/jurisdictional initiatives to sustainable land use during the reporting year.

Row 1

(8.15.2.1) Landscape/jurisdiction ID

Select from:

☒ LJ1

(8.15.2.2) Name of initiative

Initiatives under the RSPO certification

(8.15.2.3) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Worldwide

(8.15.2.4) Name of landscape or jurisdiction area

RSPO is active worldwide. However, many of their certified areas are located in Indonesia and Malaysia, contributing to 70% of their covered area. Other areas are Africa, Latin America and Rest-of-world

(8.15.2.6) Indicate if you can provide the size of the area covered by the initiative

Select from:

☒ Yes

(8.15.2.7) Area covered by the initiative (ha)

4900000

(8.15.2.8) Type of engagement

Select all that apply

☒ Funder: Provides full or partial financial resources

(8.15.2.9) Engagement start year

2020

(8.15.2.10) Engagement end year

Select from:

☒ Not defined

(8.15.2.11) Estimated investment over the project period

0

(8.15.2.12) Landscape goals supported by engagement

Environmental

- ☒ Avoided deforestation/conversion of other natural ecosystems and/or decreased degradation rate
- ☒ Biodiversity protected and/or restored
- ☒ Carbon offsetting
- ☒ Forest fires monitored and prevented
- ☒ Reduced emissions from land use change and/or agricultural production

Social

- ☒ Ensuring local communities and smallholders benefit from the outcomes of landscape/jurisdictional initiative
- ☒ Respect, protect, and fulfil human rights

Production

- ☒ Increased adoption of sustainable production practices (e.g., input use efficiency and water management practices)

(8.15.2.13) Organization actions supporting initiative

Support innovative financial mechanisms

- ☒ Other actions relating to supporting innovative financial mechanisms, please specify :Financial support through on-cost of RSPO palm oil

(8.15.2.14) Type of partners engaged in the initiative design and implementation

Select all that apply

- ☒ Financial institution
- ☒ Local communities
- ☒ NGO and/or civil society
- ☒ Producers
- ☒ Private sector

(8.15.2.15) Description of engagement

Making the palm oil sector sustainable involves everyone in it. That is why the RSPO represents the whole industry. With thousands of members worldwide from every link of the palm oil supply chain, we operate through consensus, ensuring all our stakeholders have a voice and share responsibility to protect our Standards.

(8.15.2.16) Collective monitoring framework used to measure progress towards landscape goals and actions

Select from:

☒ Yes, progress is monitored using an internally defined framework

(8.15.2.17) State the achievements of your engagement so far and how progress is monitored

We are supporting RSPO financially in their initiatives. The initiatives and progress are published in the impact update on an annual basis. This reports on certified area, GHG avoidance, reduction in fire hotspots, etc.

(8.15.2.18) Claims made

Select from:

☒ Yes, we are making a claim

(8.15.2.19) Type of claim made

Select from:

☒ Individual claim

(8.15.2.20) Provide further details on your claim

We publish 100% RSPO palm oil.

[Add row]

(8.15.3) For each of your disclosed commodities, provide details on the disclosure volume from each of the landscapes/jurisdictions you engage in.

Row 1

(8.15.3.1) Landscape/jurisdiction ID

Select from:

☒ LJ1

(8.15.3.2) Does any of your produced and/or sourced commodity volume originate from this landscape/jurisdiction, and are you able/willing to disclose information on this volume?

Select from:

☒ Yes, we do produce/source from this landscape/jurisdiction, but we are not able/willing to disclose volume data

[Add row]

(8.16) Do you participate in any other external activities to support the implementation of policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(8.16.1) Provide details of the external activities to support the implementation of your policies and commitments related to deforestation, ecosystem conversion, or human rights issues in commodity value chains

Row 1

(8.16.1.1) Commodity

Select all that apply

☒ Palm oil

(8.16.1.2) Activities

Select all that apply

☒ Involved in industry platforms

(8.16.1.3) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Worldwide

(8.16.1.4) Subnational area

Select from:

☒ Not applicable

(8.16.1.5) Provide further details of the activity

Member of RSPO, member of consumer goods forum.

[Add row]

(8.17) Is your organization supporting or implementing project(s) focused on ecosystem restoration and long-term protection?

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to implement a project(s) within the next two years

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Row 1

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☒ Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

The excluded facilities consist of non-production sites such as offices. The water used in our excluded facilities is primarily for water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) services for our employees such as drinking water, toilets, etc. The volume used here for WASH services is extremely small compared to withdrawals for the organization's production sites.

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☒ Shared premises

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

☒ 1-5%

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

With a headcount in the offices of 304 in 2024 and an estimated usage of 50l per person per working day and 200 working days per week, this would be around 3000m³, which is approx 2,5% of the total water used within the group
[Add row]

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Third party water: based on invoices + water meters, freshwater: water meters, ground water: water meters

(9.2.4) Please explain

The water withdrawn from third party sources is monthly reported by all production facilities. The measurement is done via official water measurement systems managed by third party. These official measurement system are used to invoice the water used from the official net. All our production facilities are connected to the official water system. Water from rain and ground is measured using water meters of which the data is collected on a monthly basis.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Third party water: based on invoices + water meters, freshwater: water meters, ground water: water meters

(9.2.4) Please explain

The water withdrawn from third party sources is monthly reported by all production facilities. The measurement is done via official water measurement systems managed by third party. These official measurement system are used to invoice the water used from the official net. All our production facilities are connected to the official water system. Water from rain and ground is measured using water meters of which the data is collected on a monthly basis.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Water quality from the net is controlled by the third party. The delivered quality is monitored as part of our quality management system to be suitable for food products.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Water quality from freshwater and groundwater is not crucial, as this is mainly used for toilets.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Discharged volumes are measured using water meters. This data is collected on a monthly basis. Water that is collected by a third party is quantified based on invoices.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Majority of the discharged water goes to the sewage system after removal of grease. Part of the volume is collected by a third party for purification before release into the sewage system. There is no release to groundwater or freshwater.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Discharged volumes are measured using water meters. This data is collected on a monthly basis. Water that is collected by a third party is quantified based on invoices.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Majority of the discharged water goes to the sewage system after removal of grease. Part of the volume is collected by a third party for purification before release into the sewage system. There is no release to groundwater or freshwater.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

There is an overview per plant which treatment level is linked to which discharge destination, so these volumes can be linked.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Majority of the discharged water goes to the sewage system after removal of grease. Part of the volume is collected by a third party for purification before release into the sewage system. There is no release to groundwater or freshwater.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

All our production facilities are connected with the official water net. The water discharge has to fulfill the standard effluent parameters - this is controlled withing our quality management system and is controlled by the third parties

(9.2.4) Please explain

In case our discharges are above standard effluent parameters they are cleaned by a third party before further discharge.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

All our production facilities are connected with the official water net. The water discharge has to fulfill the quality requirement for emissions to water - this is controlled withing our quality management system and is controlled by the third parties

(9.2.4) Please explain

In case our discharges are above standard effluent parameters they are cleaned by a third party before further discharge.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Our degreasing system has a temperature measurement.

(9.2.4) Please explain

The water resides for a specific period of time in degreasing installation, giving it time to cool down. After degreasing, it needs to travel a certain distance in the piping system before being discharged to the sewage system. This further offers the possibility to reach the desired temperature.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Our water consumption is limited to the amount of water used in recipes. The amount of consumed as an ingredient is estimated as being less than 5 % of total water withdrawal of the production facilities producing these product ranges. Currently we report 5% as water consumption. A more detailed calculation based on the exact amounts of the different recipes will be done in the future.

(9.2.4) Please explain

We estimate that our water consumption is less than 5% of total water withdrawal.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 1-25

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Monthly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Only one production plant recycles water for prewash of part of the production line elements. This is measured according to internal measurement tools.

(9.2.4) Please explain

In one production site some water is recycled to pre-soap some parts of production tools

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Lotus is concerned for the wellbeing of their employees. This includes their access to fully-functioning WASH services. Employees are encouraged to report malfunctioning WASH services and also the cleaning personnel is encouraged to do so.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Lotus is concerned for the wellbeing of their employees. This includes their access to fully-functioning WASH services. Employees are encouraged to report malfunctioning WASH services and also the cleaning personnel is encouraged to do so.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

156.5

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Lotus Bakeries expects to keep growing in the coming five years. This will result in an increased water withdrawal, usage and discharge. Lotus Bakeries will try to counter this increase by increasing the efficiency with which it uses water, but it is still expected that the water usage will grow.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

143.3

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Lotus Bakeries expects to keep growing in the coming five years. This will result in an increased water withdrawal, usage and discharge. Lotus Bakeries will try to counter this increase by increasing the efficiency with which it uses water, but it is still expected that the water usage will grow.

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

13.2

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

Lotus Bakeries expects to keep growing in the coming five years. This will result in an increased water withdrawal, usage and discharge. Lotus Bakeries will try to counter this increase by increasing the efficiency with which it uses water, but it is still expected that the water usage will grow.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

145.37

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

92.89

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Select all that apply

☒ WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

Both WRI aqueduct an WWF water risk filter are used to identify whether production plants are located in water stressed areas. An important percentage of our production plants are located in Flanders, which is in a water-stressed area. Also our production plant in South-Africa is located in a water-stressed area.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.6) What proportion of the sourced agricultural commodities that are significant to your organization originate from areas with water stress?

Dairy and egg products

(9.2.6.1) The proportion of this commodity sourced from areas with water stress is known

Select from:

☒ No, but we intend to obtain this data within the next two years

(9.2.6.3) Please explain

This has not been determined yet. Priority is on own operations.

Fruit

(9.2.6.1) The proportion of this commodity sourced from areas with water stress is known

Select from:

☒ No, but we intend to obtain this data within the next two years

(9.2.6.3) Please explain

This has not been determined yet. Priority is on own operations.

Nuts

(9.2.6.1) The proportion of this commodity sourced from areas with water stress is known

Select from:

☒ No, but we intend to obtain this data within the next two years

(9.2.6.3) Please explain

This has not been determined yet. Priority is on own operations.

Other grain (e.g., barley, oats)

(9.2.6.1) The proportion of this commodity sourced from areas with water stress is known

Select from:

☒ No, but we intend to obtain this data within the next two years

(9.2.6.3) Please explain

This has not been determined yet. Priority is on own operations.

Other oilseeds (e.g. rapeseed oil)

(9.2.6.1) The proportion of this commodity sourced from areas with water stress is known

Select from:

☒ No, but we intend to obtain this data within the next two years

(9.2.6.3) Please explain

This has not been determined yet. Priority is on own operations.

Palm oil

(9.2.6.1) The proportion of this commodity sourced from areas with water stress is known

Select from:

☒ No, but we intend to obtain this data within the next two years

(9.2.6.3) Please explain

This has not been determined yet. Priority is on own operations.

Sugar

(9.2.6.1) The proportion of this commodity sourced from areas with water stress is known

Select from:

☒ No, but we intend to obtain this data within the next two years

(9.2.6.3) Please explain

This has not been determined yet. Priority is on own operations.

Wheat

(9.2.6.1) The proportion of this commodity sourced from areas with water stress is known

Select from:

☒ No, but we intend to obtain this data within the next two years

(9.2.6.3) Please explain

This has not been determined yet. Priority is on own operations.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

5.02

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Increased due to increased business activity

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Lotus Bakeries does not use brackish surface water or seawater

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

56.1

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Increased due to increased business activity

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Lotus Bakeries does not use non-renewable ground water

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Lotus Bakeries does not produce water

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

95.3

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

The amount of third-party water is approximately the same. Increase in production volume is countered by water efficiency measures.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Not relevant

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Not relevant

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Not relevant

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

143270

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Increased due to increase business activity
[Fixed row]

(9.2.9) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.

Tertiary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

We don't have facilities with tertiary treatment. This is also not necessary as our water is relatively clean after use.

Secondary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

9.12

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Change in accounting methodology

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☒ 1-10

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

One production site has biological treatment

Primary treatment only

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

30.46

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☒ 31-40

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Four production sites have a degreasing system to remove solid grease particles.

Discharge to the natural environment without treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

This is not relevant, as we don't release into the natural environment without purification

Discharge to a third party without treatment

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.9.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

103.69

(9.2.9.3) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.9.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.9.5) % of your sites/facilities/operations this volume applies to

Select from:

☒ 51-60

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

This water does not need handling before releasing into the official water net as it is in line with the local water discharging standards

Other

(9.2.9.1) Relevance of treatment level to discharge

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

Not relevant

[Fixed row]

(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization's emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.

	Emissions to water in the reporting year (metric tons)	Categories of substances included	Please explain
	1	Select all that apply ☒ Nitrates ☒ Phosphates	This is not relevant for our activity

[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have assessed this value chain stage and identified facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.2) Total number of facilities identified

10

(9.3.3) % of facilities in direct operations that this represents

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.3.4) Please explain

Water is not a material topic for Lotus Bakeries, however, many of our sites are located in water-stressed areas. Therefore, this is seen as a risk towards the future.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☒ No, we have assessed this value chain stage but did not identify any facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.4) Please explain

Water is not a material topic for Lotus Bakeries
[Fixed row]

(9.3.1) For each facility referenced in 9.3, provide coordinates, water accounting data, and a comparison with the previous reporting year.

Row 1

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 1

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Lembeke

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Belgium

- ☒ Schelde (Escaut)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

51.194207

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

3.637111

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

38.77

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0.51

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

6.72

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

31.55

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

33.62

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

33.62

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

5.16

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increased production volume

Row 2

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 2

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Lokeren

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Belgium

☒ Schelde (Escaut)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

51.082743

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

4.01024

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.21

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.21

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.21

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.21

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Distribution center - no impact from increased production volumes on water usage (WASH facilities)

Row 3

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 3

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Eeklo

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Belgium

☒ Schelde (Escaut)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

51.179083

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

3.547392

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.82

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0.35

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

3.47

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

2.49

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

2.49

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

1.33

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increased production volume

Row 4

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 4

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Oostakker

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Belgium

☒ Schelde (Escaut)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

51.07853

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

3.777851

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

10.39

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

4.17

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

6.22

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

10.29

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

10.29

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.1

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Similar production volume

Row 5

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 5

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Courcelles

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Belgium

☒ Meuse

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

50.492725

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

4.363057

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

19.26

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

19.26

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

17.24

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

17.24

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

2.02

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

More efficient production

Row 6

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 6

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Comines

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Belgium

☒ Schelde (Escaut)

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

50.766782

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

3.009671

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

3.46

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

3.46

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

3.05

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

3.05

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

2.82

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increased production volume

Row 7

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 7

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Tyreso

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Sweden

☒ Other, please specify :Tyresan

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

59.240839

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

18.211616

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

0.71

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

0.71

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

0.51

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

0.51

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.2

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increased production volumes

Row 8

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 8

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Wolseley

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

South Africa

☒ Berg-Olifants

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

-33.419039

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

19.19936

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

57.11

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

49.38

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

7.72

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

57.11

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year*Select from:*☒ About the same**(9.3.1.29) Please explain***New production facility opened on same location***Row 9****(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number***Select from:*☒ Facility 9**(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)***Geldrop***(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage***Select from:*☒ Direct operations**(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility***Select all that apply*☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

Netherlands

☒ Meuse

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

51.422789

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

5.554623

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

7.25

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

7.25

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

6.28

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

6.28

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

0.97

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increased production volume

Row 10

(9.3.1.1) Facility reference number

Select from:

☒ Facility 10

(9.3.1.2) Facility name (optional)

Mebane

(9.3.1.3) Value chain stage

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(9.3.1.4) Dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities identified at this facility

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(9.3.1.5) Withdrawals or discharges in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes, withdrawals and discharges

(9.3.1.7) Country/Area & River basin

United States of America

☒ Other, please specify :Norht Atlantic Coast - Haw

(9.3.1.8) Latitude

36.085546

(9.3.1.9) Longitude

-79.294645

(9.3.1.10) Located in area with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.3.1.13) Total water withdrawals at this facility (megaliters)

4.4

(9.3.1.14) Comparison of total withdrawals with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.15) Withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers and lakes

0

(9.3.1.16) Withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.17) Withdrawals from groundwater - renewable

0

(9.3.1.18) Withdrawals from groundwater - non-renewable

0

(9.3.1.19) Withdrawals from produced/entrained water

0

(9.3.1.20) Withdrawals from third party sources

4.4

(9.3.1.21) Total water discharges at this facility (megaliters)

3.27

(9.3.1.22) Comparison of total discharges with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.23) Discharges to fresh surface water

0

(9.3.1.24) Discharges to brackish surface water/seawater

0

(9.3.1.25) Discharges to groundwater

0

(9.3.1.26) Discharges to third party destinations

3.27

(9.3.1.27) Total water consumption at this facility (megaliters)

1.13

(9.3.1.28) Comparison of total consumption with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.3.1.29) Please explain

Increased production volume

[Add row]

(9.3.2) For the facilities in your direct operations referenced in 9.3.1, what proportion of water accounting data has been third party verified?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Water is not a material topic and thus not part of our CSRD-compliant sustainability statements. Therefore, it is not part of our external audit process.

Water withdrawals – volume by source

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Water is not a material topic and thus not part of our CSRD-compliant sustainability statements. Therefore, it is not part of our external audit process.

Water withdrawals – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Water is not a material topic and thus not part of our CSRD-compliant sustainability statements. Therefore, it is not part of our external audit process.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Water is not a material topic and thus not part of our CSRD-compliant sustainability statements. Therefore, it is not part of our external audit process.

Water discharges – volume by destination

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Water is not a material topic and thus not part of our CSRD-compliant sustainability statements. Therefore, it is not part of our external audit process.

Water discharges – volume by final treatment level

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Water is not a material topic and thus not part of our CSRD-compliant sustainability statements. Therefore, it is not part of our external audit process.

Water discharges – quality by standard water quality parameters

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Water is not a material topic and thus not part of our CSRD-compliant sustainability statements. Therefore, it is not part of our external audit process.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.3.2.1) % verified

Select from:

☒ Not verified

(9.3.2.3) Please explain

Water is not a material topic and thus not part of our CSRD-compliant sustainability statements. Therefore, it is not part of our external audit process.

[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

☒ No facilities were reported in 9.3.1

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

1231914000

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

7871654.95

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

The anticipated forward trend is a increase in total water withdrawal efficiency. We are a growing company so we expect an increase in absolute water withdrawals, however, we do expect that the intensity of water usage per ton produced (and thus per revenue) will go down and the efficiency will go up.

[Fixed row]

(9.9) Provide water intensity information for each of the agricultural commodities significant to your organization that you source.

Dairy & egg products

(9.9.1) Water intensity information for this sourced commodity is collected/calculated

Select from:

☒ No, not currently but we intend to collect/calculate this data within the next two years

(9.9.6) Please explain

This is not yet calculated, as water is not a material topic according to our materiality assessment.

Fruit

(9.9.1) Water intensity information for this sourced commodity is collected/calculated

Select from:

☒ No, not currently but we intend to collect/calculate this data within the next two years

(9.9.6) Please explain

This is not yet calculated, as water is not a material topic according to our materiality assessment.

Nuts

(9.9.1) Water intensity information for this sourced commodity is collected/calculated

Select from:

☒ No, not currently but we intend to collect/calculate this data within the next two years

(9.9.6) Please explain

This is not yet calculated, as water is not a material topic according to our materiality assessment.

Other grain (e.g., barley, oats)

(9.9.1) Water intensity information for this sourced commodity is collected/calculated

Select from:

☒ No, not currently but we intend to collect/calculate this data within the next two years

(9.9.6) Please explain

This is not yet calculated, as water is not a material topic according to our materiality assessment.

Other oilseeds (e.g. rapeseed oil)

(9.9.1) Water intensity information for this sourced commodity is collected/calculated

Select from:

☒ No, not currently but we intend to collect/calculate this data within the next two years

(9.9.6) Please explain

This is not yet calculated, as water is not a material topic according to our materiality assessment.

Palm oil

(9.9.1) Water intensity information for this sourced commodity is collected/calculated

Select from:

☒ No, not currently but we intend to collect/calculate this data within the next two years

(9.9.6) Please explain

This is not yet calculated, as water is not a material topic according to our materiality assessment.

Soy

(9.9.1) Water intensity information for this sourced commodity is collected/calculated

Select from:

☒ No, not currently but we intend to collect/calculate this data within the next two years

(9.9.6) Please explain

This is not yet calculated, as water is not a material topic according to our materiality assessment.

Sugar

(9.9.1) Water intensity information for this sourced commodity is collected/calculated

Select from:

☒ No, not currently but we intend to collect/calculate this data within the next two years

(9.9.6) Please explain

This is not yet calculated, as water is not a material topic according to our materiality assessment.

Wheat

(9.9.1) Water intensity information for this sourced commodity is collected/calculated

Select from:

☒ No, not currently but we intend to collect/calculate this data within the next two years

(9.9.6) Please explain

This is not yet calculated, as water is not a material topic according to our materiality assessment.

[Add row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances	Comment
	Select from: ☒ No	<i>our products are food products</i>

[Fixed row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.14.2) Definition used to classify low water impact

based on the consumption of water

(9.14.4) Please explain

our B&B products contain very limited amounts of water that is evaporated during baking process; our NF products are based on fruits and nuts gently baked - so no added water - therefor our water consumption is low -

[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?

(9.15.3.1) Primary reason

Select from:

☒ Important but not an immediate business priority

(9.15.3.2) Please explain

Water was not considered to be material in our double materiality assessment. However, we find water an important metric to follow-up to guarantee

[Fixed row]

C10. Environmental performance - Plastics

(10.1) Do you have plastics-related targets, and if so what type?

(10.1.1) Targets in place

Select from:

☒ Yes

(10.1.2) Target type and metric

Plastic polymers

☒ Reduce the use of polymers with properties that may hinder their reusability, recyclability and disposal

(10.1.3) Please explain

Lotus Bakeries has the commitment to strive to design 98% of its packaging to be technically recyclable by end of 2025. Aside from our recyclability target, we have a sustainable packaging policy covering other topics, such as reduction of material put on the market, increase of recycled content rate, sustainable sourcing, communication to the consumer, contribution to EPR systems. We don't have targets on these topics yet, but we do have a policy that describes our strategy towards the future.

[Fixed row]

(10.2) Indicate whether your organization engages in the following activities.

Production/commercialization of plastic polymers (including plastic converters)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

(10.2.2) Comment

/

Production/commercialization of durable plastic goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

(10.2.2) Comment

/

Usage of durable plastics goods and/or components (including mixed materials)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

(10.2.2) Comment

/

Production/commercialization of plastic packaging

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

(10.2.2) Comment

/

Production/commercialization of goods/products packaged in plastics

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ Yes

(10.2.2) Comment

Lotus Bakeries produces indulgent and healthy snacks that are packed in plastic packaging for preservation and protection.

Provision/commercialization of services that use plastic packaging (e.g., food services)

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

(10.2.2) Comment

/

Provision of waste management and/or water management services

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

(10.2.2) Comment

/

Provision of financial products and/or services for plastics-related activities

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

(10.2.2) Comment

/

Other activities not specified

(10.2.1) Activity applies

Select from:

☒ No

(10.2.2) Comment

/

[Fixed row]

(10.5) Provide the total weight of plastic packaging sold and/or used and indicate the raw material content.

Plastic packaging used

(10.5.1) Total weight during the reporting year (Metric tons)

6395

(10.5.2) Raw material content percentages available to report

Select all that apply

- ☒ % virgin fossil-based content
- ☒ % virgin renewable content
- ☒ % pre-consumer recycled content
- ☒ % post-consumer recycled content

(10.5.3) % virgin fossil-based content

99

(10.5.4) % virgin renewable content

0

(10.5.5) % pre-consumer recycled content

0

(10.5.6) % post-consumer recycled content

1

(10.5.7) Please explain

Plastic packaging accounts for 18% of the total packaging weight within the Lotus Bakeries group. Lotus Bakeries mainly uses light PP wrappers for preservation and protection of its products. Polypropylene is a material that can not yet be made food-grade from mechanically recycled materials. Therefore, the % of recycled content is currently still low. However, we do have a pilot running to use packaging from chemical recycled content through a certified mass-balance scheme.

[Fixed row]

(10.5.1) Indicate the circularity potential of the plastic packaging you sold and/or used.

Plastic packaging used

(10.5.1.1) Percentages available to report for circularity potential

Select all that apply

☒ % technically recyclable

(10.5.1.3) % of plastic packaging that is technically recyclable

98.1

(10.5.1.5) Please explain

We have a technical recyclability rate of 98,1% in 2024. This is weight-based average of all packaging put on the market and scanned against existing design guidelines.

[Fixed row]

(10.6) Provide the total weight of waste generated by the plastic you produce, commercialize, use and/or process and indicate the end-of-life management pathways.

Usage of plastic

(10.6.1) Total weight of waste generated during the reporting year (Metric tons)

54

(10.6.2) End-of-life management pathways available to report

Select all that apply

☒ Recycling

(10.6.4) % recycling

100

(10.6.12) Please explain

Lotus Bakeries' production process has generated plastic waste of 54 ton in 2024. Almost all of this has been sent to recycling. Exceptions to this might be plastic that ends up in general waste bins, which is sent to incineration with energy recuperation. However, this can not be mapped.

[Fixed row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

(11.2.1) Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments

Select from:

☒ Yes, we are taking actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments

(11.2.2) Type of action taken to progress biodiversity- related commitments

Select all that apply

☒ Land/water protection

☒ Land/water management

☒ Species management

☒ Education & awareness

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

	Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity	Comment
Legally protected areas	Select from: ☒ No	Not applicable
UNESCO World Heritage sites	Select from: ☒ No	Not applicable
UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves	Select from: ☒ No	Not applicable
Ramsar sites	Select from: ☒ No	Not applicable
Key Biodiversity Areas	Select from: ☒ No	Not applicable
Other areas important for biodiversity	Select from: ☒ No	Not applicable

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Forests
- ☒ Plastics

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Forests

- ☒ All data points in module 8

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

☒ ISAE 3000

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

See annual report p 310-321

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

2024_Annual_Report_Lotus_Bakeries_Group.pdf

[Add row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

	Additional information
	No additional info

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

☒ Environment/Sustainability manager

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:

☒ No

